



# Impact of Periodontal Health Status on the Oral Health Related Quality of Life in Sulaimani City by Using Oral Health Impact Profile

Osamah Assim Abdulrahman Algodi<sup>1</sup> and Aram Mohammed Hamad<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Prosthetic Dental Techniques, College of Health and Medical Techniques, Middle Technical University, Baghdad, Iraq

<sup>2</sup> Institute of Medical Technology, Middle Technical University, Baghdad, Iraq

<sup>3</sup> Department of Prosthodontics, College of Dentistry, Mustansiryiah University, Baghdad, Iraq

**Correspondence:** Aram Mohammed Hamad

**Email:** [aram.hamad@univsul.edu.iq](mailto:aram.hamad@univsul.edu.iq)

Received: 12 January 2024; Accepted: 2 May 2024; Published: 30 June 2024

## Abstract

**Aim of the study:** The objective of this study is to provide a valid and reliable Kurdish translation of the Oral Health Impact Profile-14 (OHIP-14) and to find the impact of periodontal health status on oral health related to quality of life (OHRQoL).

**Material and method:** OHIP-14 was translated and checked for validity and reliability during the pilot study which involved 39 participants. After validation of the newly translated Kurdish version of OHIP-14, 323 individuals participated in this questionnaire-based cross-sectional study which involved documentation of their demographics and periodontal clinical parameters for all participants by the researcher using William's periodontal probe. The questionnaire form was filled in by the participants themselves.

**Results:** Among participants, the total mean of OHIP-14 and the mean values of all subscale scores significantly increase with worsening of periodontal diseases ( $P \leq 0.05$ ), except for psychological discomfort, and physical disability. It was found that there was a statistically significant strong positive correlation between periodontal parameters (PI, BI, PPD, and CAL) and quality of life ( $p < 0.0001$ ).

**Conclusion:** Based on the study's findings, it can be inferred that periodontal diseases pose negative impacts on the quality of life (QOL), with a more pronounced effect observed in participants suffering from severe periodontal diseases.

**Keywords:** OHRQoL, OHIP-14, Periodontal disease, Kurdish version.

## Introduction

Quality of life (QOL) entails what makes life worth living. It includes several constituents; safety and the environment are obvious examples, but health is also an important part (John, 2021), the World Health Organization (WHO) has defined health as “a state of complete physical, mental and social well-being and not merely the absence

of infirmity or disease”, emphasizing a biopsychosocial model of health and illness (WHO, 2023).

Oral Health-Related Quality of Life (OHRQoL) is a multidimensional concept that includes biopsychosocial aspects of oral wellbeing (Locker D, Allen, 2007). Although the OHRQoL does not provide information about the individual's clinical oral status, it



does provide an insight into their perception of their oral health and how it shapes their life (Teoli and Bhardwaj, 2023).

The importance of OHRQoL comes from the fact that the effects of a disease are more dispersed than only the effect on a specific organ or system, but rather the subjective experience, personal values, attitudes, and beliefs that individuals have concerning their personal perspective of health (Yfantopoulos, 2015).

Among the evaluation instruments of the effect of OHRQoL, one of the most popular in different cultures and sociodemographic profiles, is the Oral Health Impact Profile (OHIP), which was proposed in Australia (Slade, 1997), to assess dysfunction, discomfort, and disabilities caused by oral conditions. The data aims to supplement traditional measures of oral health, providing a more comprehensive picture of the impact of oral disease on populations and the effectiveness of health services in reducing these impacts (Sischo and Broder, 2011).

OHIP-14, which showed good reliability, validity, and accuracy, consists of entries that are divided into seven dimensions: functional limitation, physical pain, physical disability, psychological discomfort, psychological disability, social disability, and handicap (Yfantopoulos, 2015). OHIP-14 gained

widespread adoption across the globe for various research purposes with modifications including language and regional issues. Assessing the quality of life of adult oral health is one of its primary needs (Papagiannopoulou et al., 2012).

Periodontal disease is caused by an imbalance between the oral biofilm in the dento-gingival area and the host response. This imbalance causes a loss of supporting periodontal tissue and alveolar bone (Könönen, Gursoy and Gursoy, 2019). Several clinical studies were keen on the management of the disease in terms of etiology, pathogenesis, efficacy, and outcome of different treatment modalities. The emphasis has been on clinical periodontal parameters such as probing depth (PD) and attachment level, and most studies have used a site perspective rather than an individual perspective (Jansson et al., 2014). The period of periodontal therapy is often lengthy, and it involves treatments that can inflict some discomfort. It has been a concern that periodontal therapy may not be so effective when the outcome is regarded from the patient's perceptions (Saito et al., 2010). Periodontal therapy is considered to have a positive impact on the QoL of patients. However, evidence to support the effect of periodontal therapy on QoL is still limited (Shanbhag, Dahiya and Croucher, 2012).

Individual attitudes are dynamic, change over time and experience, and are modified by phenomena like coping, expectancy, and adaptation (Allen, 2003).

To assess quality of life, we can use OHIP-14 which reflects comfort in eating, sleeping, social interaction, positive image, and satisfaction with oral health (Campos, 2021). Knowing how the disease affects the patient's QOL might help us understand what they go through, improve public dental health programs, and improve the oral care media messages to increase reach and awareness. Slade and Spencer have expected that assessments of oral health conditions may also be used to promote oral health, especially when trying to secure public funds for oral health care (Goode, 2020).

The uptake of appropriate oral hygiene practices is related to the degree of awareness and knowledge the individual has about oral health care and periodontal diseases. The rise of good oral care practices in developed nations has been associated with lower prevalence of periodontitis (Abdulbaqi et al., 2020). A previous study revealed inappropriate levels of oral hygiene and periodontal disease awareness and knowledge in the Iraqi population (Papapanou et al., 2018).

We still have a gap in understanding how periodontal disease affects the population in Sulaimani City from the patient's perspective, this information gives a better understanding of how the disease affects patients and what drives them to seek treatment, it might also help in updating the primary health center's dental protocols to make them more patient-focused.

The worse the periodontal disease, the more it affects the OHRQoL according to many research till the writing of this article, a Kurdish version of the OHIP-14 has never been used or presented regarding periodontal disease or any other field of dentistry. Therefore, the purpose of the study is to assess the impact of periodontal diseases on the quality of life among the adult population of Sulaimani city, Kurdistan, and Iraq.

## Materials and Methods

After ethical clearance was acquired from the ethics committee at the College of Dentistry/University of Sulaimani (no.175/23). The study took place at the College of Dentistry/University of Sulaimani for a period of six months. Those involved in the study were receiving medical treatment at the periodontal department clinics at the College of Dentistry/University of Sulaimani. All participants were given a Participant

Information Sheet (PIS), and those who agreed to sign were included in the study. Out of the 483 individuals that have been approached, 323 agreed to participate and signed the PIS.

The study's inclusion criteria comprise individuals aged 20 years or older who express a willingness to participate and have a minimum of 20 natural teeth. Exclusion criteria were pregnancy, lactating women, systemic disease, and edentulism, dental conditions other than a periodontal disease, taking antibiotics during the last 3 months, illiteracy, mental disease, crowns, and implants.

The sample size calculation was performed using the G-power sample size calculator at 95% power. The required sample size for the present research was 280 individuals.

Participants were divided according to periodontal condition into four groups:

Group 1 (control) participants have a clinically healthy gingiva: bleeding  $< 10\%$ , Group 2 (Gingivitis) which includes participants with gingivitis: bleeding  $\geq 10\%$ , Group 3 (stages I and II periodontitis) and Group 4 (stages III and IV periodontitis).

Participants were also grouped according to age into three groups: group 1 for ages between 20 and 35, group 2 for ages between

35 and 50, and group 3 for ages between 50 and 65.

Comparisons between groups were done based on total OHIP-14 scores.

Data collection was done by using individual case sheets and clinical recordings. The case sheet is divided into three sections; the initial section comprises sociodemographic variables. The subsequent section entails the clinical examination, while the final part focuses on the measurement of OHRQoL. The sociodemographic variables included age, sex, brushing frequency, interdental cleaning aids, use of mouthwash, and smoking habits.

Periodontal condition is assessed by measuring the periodontal clinical parameters such as O'Leary Plaque Index (percentage), gingival bleeding index (GBI) (percentage), probing depth (the deepest point in mm for each patient), and clinical attachment loss CAL (the point of the most attachment loss in mm was considered), and Miller's mobility index. Using William's periodontal probe, for each participant to perform a thorough periodontal examination under appropriate illumination. Patients exhibiting inter-dental attachment loss  $\geq 2$  non-adjacent teeth are supposed to be periodontitis cases, while those without inter-dental attachment loss but

showing bleeding on probing  $\geq 10\%$  will be classified as gingivitis cases.

The severity of periodontitis is classified according to the 2017 classification of periodontal and peri-implant diseases and conditions:

Stage I periodontitis: Interdental CAL 1-2 mm at  $\geq 2$  non-adjacent teeth.

Stage II periodontitis: Interdental CAL 3-4 mm at  $\geq 2$  non-adjacent teeth.

Stage III and IV periodontitis: Interdental CAL  $\geq 5$  mm at  $\geq 2$  non-adjacent teeth.

The third section consists of a questionnaire that includes OHIP-14 (Figure 1) to assess OHRQoL. Both an English version and a Kurdish version of the questionnaire were provided for the participants. Questions were answered on a five-point Likert scale<sup>21</sup>(0=never, 1=hardly ever, 2=occasionally, 3=fairly often, 4=very often). It consists of 7 domains with 2 questions in each domain. Each question carries a minimum score of 0 and a maximum score of 4 and has a total score of 56. Thus, a lower score will indicate a better oral health-related quality of life.

The Kurdish version of OHIP-14 was created through a rigorous process. Initially, the translation and back translation of OHIP-14 were conducted by experts proficient in both Kurdish and English. The questionnaire then

underwent face and content validation to ensure its accuracy and relevance. Based on the valuable inputs proposed by the experts during content validation, slight adjustments were made to the wording of the questionnaire to improve the level of understanding (Figure 1).

Subsequently, the pilot study was conducted on 39 participants. The questionnaires were administered to the participants at the University of Sulaimani/College of Dentistry through face-to-face interviews as part of the pilot study testing, then. Their information was then used to test reliability of the newly developed Kurdish version of the OHIP-14, the participants were asked to refill the Kurdish version of the OHIP-14 two weeks after the first time.

Statistical analysis was performed using IBM<sup>®</sup> SPSS<sup>®</sup> version 25 64-bit edition, the newly fabricated Kurdish version of OHIP-14 was checked for reliability through test-retest reliability with correlation coefficient  $> 0.7$ , Shapiro-wilk test was used to check normality and the data turned out to be not normally distributed  $P < 0.05$ , Kruskal-Wallis test was used to check statistical significance between groups, Spearman's correlation used determine correlation between non-normally distributed data.

## Results

As a result, the data were analyzed from 323 participants out of 483 approached individuals. The demographic features of the individuals are demonstrated in Table 1, which were 41% males, and 59% females. 47% were aged between 20-35, and 31% were in the 36-50 age range, the remaining 22% were in the 51-65 age range. Approximately 80% of the participants did not smoke, with the remaining 20% being smokers. 48% of the individuals brushed once daily, 25% twice, and 27% brushed irregularly. The overall percentage of the participants who did not use mouthwash and dental floss was 71% and 62%, respectively.

Regarding OHIP-14 and demographic characteristics, there was no statistical difference between the sexes or between those patients who used mouthwash and those who did not ( $P \geq 0.05$ ) (Table 1).

The reliability, and validity of the pilot questionnaire of the Kurdish version of the OHIP-14 were assessed, and a good result was obtained since its value was more than 0.7 indicating high internal consistency of the OHIP-14.

The OHRQL was measured using the OHIP-14 questionnaire, which consists of 7 domains with 2 questions in each domain (Figures 1 and 2). Each question carries a minimum score of 0 and a maximum score of 4 and has a total score of 56.

| OHIP - 14       |        |          |        |        |   |
|-----------------|--------|----------|--------|--------|---|
| بەبەردەوا<br>مە | زۆرجار | ناوبەناو | کەمجار | هەرگیز |   |
|                 |        |          |        |        | 1. کێشەت هەبوو بۆ وتنی هەس و شەپەک بە هۆی کێشەیی یوونکتەر؟  |
|                 |        |          |        |        | 2. هەسنت بە خرایبون و تیکجونی تەم و چێز کردووە بە هۆی کێشەیی یوونکتەر؟  |
|                 |        |          |        |        | 3. ئێش و ئازاری ناو دەست هەیە بە هۆی کێشەیی یوونکتەر؟   |
|                 |        |          |        |        | 4. بۆت دەرکەیتووە نەرمکەیت لە خواردنی هەس خواردنیک بە هۆی کێشەیی یوونکتەر؟  |
|                 |        |          |        |        | 5. نایا هەسنت بە قەلەخی (دوودلی) کردووە بە هۆی کێشەیی یوونکتەر؟   |
|                 |        |          |        |        | 6. هەسنت بە گەزێ و بێزاری کردووە بە هۆی کێشەیی یوونکتەر؟  |
|                 |        |          |        |        | 7. نایا توشی کێشە بووین لە کاتی خواردندا بە هۆی کێشەیی یوونکتەر؟ نایا نارازیت لە خوراکیەک بە هۆی کێشەیی یوونکتەر؟ |
|                 |        |          |        |        | 8. نایا ناچار بووین دەست هەلگریت لە زەمکەنت بە هۆی کێشەیی یوونکتەر؟   |
|                 |        |          |        |        | 9. نایا کێشەت هەبوو لە پشودانت بە هۆی کێشەیی یوونکتەر؟  |
|                 |        |          |        |        | 10. نایا توشی تەسەزاری بووین بە هۆی کێشەیی یوونکتەر؟  |
|                 |        |          |        |        | 11. نایا توشی ناشارامی بووین لە گەمەڵ کەسانی دەورەبەرت بە هۆی کێشەیی یوونکتەر؟                                    |
|                 |        |          |        |        | 12. گرفتت هەبوو لە ئەنجامدانی ئێشی رۆژانەت بە هۆی کێشەیی یوونکتەر؟  |
|                 |        |          |        |        | 13. هەسنت کردووە بە گەزێ لە زیان کەسێ رازیبیت بە هۆی کێشەیی یوونکتەر؟   |
|                 |        |          |        |        | 14. نایا وات لێهاتووە بە تەواوی نەتوانی کاربەیت بە هۆی کێشەیی یوونکتەر؟   |

Figure 1(A): Kurdish version of OHIP-14

| Factor          | Group       |              |              |             | OHIP-14   |      | Spearman correlation |      |
|-----------------|-------------|--------------|--------------|-------------|-----------|------|----------------------|------|
|                 | 1<br>(N=77) | 2<br>(N=132) | 3<br>(N=101) | 4<br>(N=13) | N         | Mean |                      | SD   |
| Smoking         | Yes         | 11<br>14%    | 39<br>30%    | 36<br>36%   | 6<br>46%  | 64   | 23.4*                | 12.5 |
|                 | No          | 66<br>86%    | 93<br>70%    | 65<br>64%   | 7<br>54%  | 259  | 15.9*                | 10.9 |
| Age             | 20-35       | 77<br>100%   | 76<br>58%    | 0<br>0%     | 0<br>0%   | 153  | 9*                   | 7.6  |
|                 | 35-50       | 0<br>0%      | 50<br>38%    | 44<br>44%   | 5<br>38%  | 99   | 21.4*                | 8.9  |
|                 | 50-65       | 0<br>0%      | 6<br>4%      | 57<br>56%   | 8<br>62%  | 71   | 28.5*                | 8.1  |
| Sex             | Male        | 35<br>45%    | 47<br>36%    | 45<br>45%   | 7<br>54%  | 134  | 17.3                 | 11.9 |
|                 | Female      | 42<br>55%    | 85<br>64%    | 56<br>55%   | 6<br>46%  | 189  | 16.9                 | 11.1 |
| Brushing habits | Once        | 31<br>40%    | 79<br>60%    | 39<br>39%   | 7<br>54%  | 156  | 17.1*                | 11.1 |
|                 | Twice       | 41<br>53%    | 30<br>23%    | 11<br>11%   | 0<br>0%   | 82   | 9.7*                 | 10.3 |
|                 | Irregular   | 5<br>7%      | 23<br>17%    | 51<br>50%   | 6<br>46%  | 85   | 24.2*                | 8.3  |
| Mouthwash       | Yes         | 23<br>30%    | 49<br>37%    | 15<br>15%   | 7<br>54%  | 94   | 15.2                 | 11.3 |
|                 | No          | 54<br>70%    | 83<br>63%    | 86<br>85%   | 6<br>46%  | 229  | 17.9                 | 11.4 |
| Flossing habits | Yes         | 44<br>57%    | 31<br>23%    | 44<br>44%   | 3<br>23%  | 122  | 16.1*                | 12.7 |
|                 | No          | 33<br>43%    | 101<br>77%   | 57<br>56%   | 10<br>77% | 201  | 17.7*                | 10.6 |

**Table 1:** Total numbers and percentages of the demographic characteristics, mean, and standard deviation of OHIP-14, with the relation between factors and OHIP-14

\*Spearman's correlation Significant  $P \leq 0.01$

**Table 2.** clinical parameters according to the groups

| Clinical parameter       | Group 1     |            | Group 2     |             | Group 3     |         | Group 4      |            |
|--------------------------|-------------|------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|---------|--------------|------------|
|                          | Mean %      | SD         | Mean %      | SD          | Mean %      | SD      | Mean%        | SD         |
| Plaque Index             | 29.610<br>0 | 6.245<br>0 | 66.800<br>0 | 12.46<br>10 | 85.510<br>0 | 7.7120  | 92.0000<br>0 | 6.892<br>0 |
| Bleeding Index           | 6.0600      | 2.114<br>0 | 43.730<br>0 | 13.58<br>30 | 69.340<br>0 | 11.1390 | 87.8500<br>0 | 3.693<br>0 |
| Probing Pocket Depth     | 0.0000      | 0.000<br>0 | 2.6800      | 0.468<br>0  | 6.2000      | 0.7620  | 8.0800<br>0  | 0.494<br>0 |
| Clinical Attachment Loss | 0.0000      | 0.000<br>0 | 0.0000      | 0.000<br>0  | 3.3600      | 0.7010  | 9.0800<br>0  | 1.256<br>0 |
| Gingival Recession       | 0.0000      | 0.000<br>0 | 0.0000      | 0.000<br>0  | 1.1200      | 0.3250  | 1.3100<br>0  | 0.480<br>0 |

| Domain                   | Questions  | Score |             |              |              |            |
|--------------------------|--|-------|-------------|--------------|--------------|------------|
|                          |  | Never | Hardly ever | Occasionally | Fairly often | Very often |
|                          |  | 0     | 1           | 2            | 3            | 4          |
| Functional limitation    | 1) Have you had trouble pronouncing any words because of problems with your gums?              |       |             |              |              |            |
|                          | 2) Have you felt that your sense of taste has worsened because of problems with your gums?     |       |             |              |              |            |
| Physical limitation      | 3) Have you had painful aching in your mouth due to gum problems?                              |       |             |              |              |            |
|                          | 4) Have you found it uncomfortable to eat any foods because of problems with your gums?        |       |             |              |              |            |
| Psychological discomfort | 5) Have you been worried by gum problems?  |       |             |              |              |            |
|                          | 6) Have you felt tense because of problems with your gums?                                     |       |             |              |              |            |
| Physical disability      | 7) Has your diet been unsatisfactory because of problems with your gums?                       |       |             |              |              |            |
|                          | 8) Have you had to interrupt meals because of problems with your gums?                         |       |             |              |              |            |
| Psychological disability | 9) Have you found it difficult to relax because of problems with your gums?                    |       |             |              |              |            |
|                          | 10) Have you been a bit embarrassed because of problems with your gums?                        |       |             |              |              |            |
| Social Disability        | 11) Have you been a bit irritable with other people because of problems with your gums?        |       |             |              |              |            |
|                          | 12) Have you had difficulty doing your usual jobs because of problems with your gums?          |       |             |              |              |            |
| Handicap                 | 13) Have you felt that life in general was less satisfying because of problems with your gums? |       |             |              |              |            |
|                          | 14) Have you been totally unable to function because of problems with your gums?               |       |             |              |              |            |

Figure 1(B): English version of OHIP-14

**Table 3:** illustrates the distribution of responses according to the items of OHIP-14. The impact of oral health on the quality of life of individuals was substantial, causing psychological discomfort, physical

limitation, and psychological disability. Approximately two-thirds of the individuals assumed that they had psychological discomfort, and one-third of the patients perceived physical limitation and

psychological disability fairly often. In other words, they were worried and found it uncomfortable to eat their food properly, or a bit embarrassed because of problems with their gums. The impact on the domain of

handicap was less prevalent. The prevalence of negative impact across all domains (very often), an option that is the least selected, varied between 0.0% and 12%.


**Table 3:** Distribution of responses to individual OHIP-14 domains

| Domain                   | Never     | Hardly ever | Occasionally | Fairly often | Very Often |
|--------------------------|-----------|-------------|--------------|--------------|------------|
| Functional limitation    | 246 (76%) | 21 (7%)     | 43 (13%)     | 9 (3%)       | 4 (1%)     |
|                          | 82 (25%)  | 77 (24%)    | 124 (38%)    | 40 (13%)     | 0 (0%)     |
| Physical limitation      | 59 (18%)  | 102 (32%)   | 120 (37%)    | 39 (13%)     | 1 (0%)     |
|                          | 33 (10%)  | 104 (33%)   | 98 (30%)     | 71 (22%)     | 17 (5%)    |
| Psychological discomfort | 34 (11%)  | 61 (19%)    | 82 (25%)     | 108 (33%)    | 38 (12%)   |
|                          | 96 (30%)  | 68 (21%)    | 56 (18%)     | 95 (29%)     | 8 (2%)     |
| Physical disability      | 121 (38%) | 55 (17%)    | 73 (23%)     | 66 (20%)     | 8 (2%)     |
|                          | 149 (46%) | 59 (18%)    | 94 (29%)     | 21 (7%)      | 0 (0%)     |
| Psychological disability | 150 (46%) | 108 (33%)   | 42 (13%)     | 23 (8%)      | 0 (0%)     |
|                          | 87 (27%)  | 51 (16%)    | 79 (24%)     | 82 (26%)     | 24 (7%)    |
| Social Disability        | 108 (33%) | 53 (16%)    | 103 (33%)    | 39 (12%)     | 20 (6%)    |
|                          | 176 (54%) | 99 (31%)    | 43 (13%)     | 5 (2%)       | 0 (0%)     |
| Handicap                 | 137 (42%) | 61 (19%)    | 96 (30%)     | 28 (9%)      | 1 (0%)     |
|                          | 221 (68%) | 87 (27%)    | 14 (4%)      | 2 (1%)       | 0 (0%)     |

The total mean of OHIP-14 significantly increases with increasing the severity of periodontal diseases (2.42 ±1.3, 15.41 ±5.1, 27.58 ±6.3, and 39.46 ±2.8), respectively (P≤ 0.001), as shown in Figure 2.

The mean values of all subscale scores (functional limitation, physical limitation, psychological discomfort, physical disability,

psychological disability, social disability, and handicap) significantly differed by periodontal disease severity, i.e, the mean values of all subscale scores significantly increased with the increasing severity of periodontal diseases (P≤ 0.05). This suggests that the severity of periodontal diseases has a major influence on people's quality of life.



**Figure 2:** Mean and Standard deviation according to groups

The Kruskal-Wallis test uses these average ranks to assess whether there's a statistically significant difference in the way the data from each group is distributed.

The table compares the average rank of groups for Gingivitis, Stage 1,2 Periodontitis, Stage 3,4 Periodontitis, and Control. The higher the average rank, the more severe the gum disease.

**Table 4.** Groups, mean OHIP-14, and their average rank

| Group                   | Mean OHIP-14 | Average Rank |
|-------------------------|--------------|--------------|
| Control                 | 2.42         | 39.08        |
| Gingivitis              | 15.41        | 150.73       |
| Stage 1,2 periodontitis | 27.58        | 250.91       |
| Stage 3,4 periodontitis | 39.46        | 313.69       |

\*Kruskal-Wallis test

The result of Kruskal-Wallis test indicates that there is a significant difference in OHIP-14 between groups, which means that periodontal disease affects the QoL of patients, with a P value of (0.000), while Table 4 shows that the control group has the lowest average rank ( 39.08) which indicate a

better QoL, while group 4 has the highest rank (313.69) indicating the worst QoL, All pairwise comparisons between groups are statistically significant (p-value < 0.05), except for the comparison between stage 1, 2 periodontitis and stage 3, 4 Periodontitis (p-value = 0.022). This suggests that all groups

have statistically different average ranks except for stage 1, 2 Periodontitis and stage 3, 4 Periodontitis which appear to have similar average ranks.

Overall, the results suggest that there is a statistically significant difference in the

sample average rank between at least two of the groups for Gingivitis, Stage 1, 2 Periodontitis, and Stage 3, 4 Periodontitis. However, there is no statistically significant difference between Stage 1, 2 Periodontitis and Stage 3,4 Periodontitis.

**Table 5.** pairwise comparison for Kruskal-Wallis test using Dunn’s test.

| Pairwise Comparisons                            | Test Statistic | Std. Error | Adj.Sig. |
|---|----------------|------------|----------|
| Control-Gingivitis                              | -111.657       | 13.382     | 0        |
| Control-Stage 1,2 Periodontitis                 | -211.833       | 14.118     | 0        |
| Control-Stage 3,4 Periodontitis                 | -274.614       | 27.982     | 0        |
| Gingivitis-Stage 1,2 Periodontitis              | -100.176       | 12.337     | 0        |
| Gingivitis-Stage 3,4 Periodontitis              | -162.957       | 27.127     | 0.135    |
| Stage 1,2 Periodontitis-Stage 3,4 Periodontitis | -62.781        | 27.498     | 1        |

\* Table 5 displays the pairwise comparisons between the groups Gingivitis, Periodontitis, Stage 3, 4 Periodontitis, and Control. The test statistics are reported in the 'Test Statistic' column, which is a chi-square statistic. The 'Std. Error' column shows the standard error. 'Adj.Sig.' refers to the adjusted significance level after applying the Bonferroni correction.

Table 6 demonstrated a correlation between the domain scores and parameters, such as sex, smoking habits, brushing, use of mouthwash, flossing, and periodontal parameters (Table 6). It was found that there

was a statistically significant, strong positive correlation between periodontal parameters (PI, BI, PPD, and CAL) and quality of life ( $p < 0.0001$ ). Smoking and tooth mobility significantly weakly positively correlated with the domains. Brushing and flossing significantly weakly negatively correlated with the domains. Nevertheless, there was no significant correlation between sex and domain scores on one side, between the use of mouthwash and psychological discomfort, social disability, and the handicap subcategory ( $p \geq 0.05$ ) on the other side. Additionally, all clinical parameters

significantly affected the scores of all domains, along with the total Ohip-14 ( $p < 0.0001$ ). This suggests that higher values of

PI, GI, PD, and CAL are correlated with even more unfavorable effects of periodontitis on OHRQoL (Table 6).

**Table 6:** Spearman’s correlation between variables (demographics, clinical parameters) and the domains.

| Variable                   | Functional limitation (r) | Physical limitation (r) | Psychological discomfort (r) | Physical disability (r) | Psychological disability (r) | Social Disability (r) | Handicap (r) |
|----------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------|
| Sex                        | 0.01                      | 0.004                   | 0.02                         | 0.02                    | -0.005                       | -0.11                 | -0.32        |
| Smoking habits             | 0.25*                     | 0.15*                   | 0.15*                        | 0.16*                   | 0.16*                        | 0.1*                  | 0.15*        |
| Brushing frequency         | -0.37*                    | -0.35*                  | -0.28*                       | -0.35*                  | -0.39*                       | -0.22*                | -0.28*       |
| Use of mouthwash           | -0.15*                    | -0.11*                  | -0.06                        | -0.11*                  | -0.115*                      | -0.26                 | -0.052       |
| flossing habit             | -0.19*                    | -0.17*                  | -0.18*                       | -0.15*                  | -0.14*                       | -0.17*                | -0.13*       |
| Presence of tooth mobility | 0.44*                     | 0.41*                   | 0.41*                        | 0.47*                   | 0.38*                        | 0.37*                 | 0.41*        |
| Plaque Index               | 0.66*                     | 0.61*                   | 0.67*                        | 0.70*                   | 0.63*                        | 0.61*                 | 0.56*        |
| Bleeding index             | 0.67*                     | 0.63*                   | 0.66*                        | 0.71*                   | 0.63*                        | 0.63*                 | 0.61*        |
| Probing Pocket Depth       | 0.67*                     | 0.64*                   | 0.68*                        | 0.74*                   | 0.64*                        | 0.67*                 | 0.66*        |
| Clinical Attachment Loss   | 0.59*                     | 0.53*                   | 0.63*                        | 0.71*                   | 0.47*                        | 0.55*                 | 0.62*        |

\* Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level

## Discussion

For many years, people have known that periodontal disease is common, and this has led to a greater focus on the importance of periodontal care (Liss, 2022). Despite notable improvements in preventing and treating various oral health problems, such as tooth decay, periodontal disease persists as a major problem and there is little evidence that the severity of periodontal disease has improved

in recent years (Kinane, Stathopoulou and Papapanou, 2017).

There have been many studies that have investigated the impact of periodontal disease on OHRQoL. However, it is difficult to compare the results of these studies because they have used different methods to define periodontal status and assess OHRQoL (Lopes et al., 2009).

Different scales may have different advantages and disadvantages depending on the purpose and context of the study. A validated OHIP-14 questionnaire was employed to evaluate the impact of periodontal disease on QoL. For it may be more suitable for screening and comparing populations, and it is the most dependable, sensitive even for little changes, and has demonstrated sufficient cross-cultural consistency (Gera, Cattaneo and Cornelis, 2020). Furthermore, the majority of studies have employed this measuring tool for evaluating the adverse effect of oral conditions on comfort or well-being (da Silva Araújo et al., 2010). Likert scale was utilized in this study due to its ease of incorporation into the study and its ability to measure the intensity or degree of agreement or disagreement with a question or statement rather than a yes or no answer, Likert scale also gives us the ability to perform different statistical test with ease.

Although the OHIP-14 which was first established to quantify OHRQoL in relation to various oral diseases, has been translated, validated, and implemented in many countries like the United States, Scotland, Canada, and to many languages such as Greek, Arabic, Hindi, Brazilian, Spanish and many others. Scarce information on how oral health problems affect the quality of life of the Kurdish people. Therefore, this study tried to evaluate how periodontal diseases influence the quality of life of the Kurdish population.

The OHIP-14 measure was translated into Kurdish and demonstrated to be valid and reliable. Cronbach's alpha for the OHIP-14 was more than 0.7. The reliability and validity were satisfactory for all domain subscales.

Generally, periodontal diseases had an undesirable influence on QoL. Translating the OHIP-14 into the Kurdish language enables the researcher to collect data from different communities within the population instead of being restricted to a population that understands the English language or filling the questionnaire themselves, it also gives researchers the capacity to expand their research sample in the future. The method used to check validity and reliability in this study is close to what has been used in Saudi Arabia.

The current study shows a total mean OHIP-14 score of  $(17.09 \pm 11.9)$ , proposing a substantial impact of oral health status on the quality of life (QoL) of individuals. This could be explained by the fact that the participants are patients looking for help from a specialized dental hospital and are suffering from periodontal disease, which necessitated their initial visit. Compared to hospital-based research, a well-designed population-based survey can give a more accurate picture in contrast to the studies conducted in the Shanghai (Liu et al., 2012) population and Saudi Arabia (Hakami et al., 2020), which showed a high mean OHIP score (10.8 and 13.92), respectively.

As shown in Table 1, smokers have a significantly higher mean OHIP-14 score in comparison to nonsmokers, which can be explained by the effect of smoking on the periodontal condition, which results in less patient satisfaction with their QOL. Participants who reported flossing or brushing their teeth twice a day had lower OHIP-14 scores than those who reported brushing once a day or infrequently, suggesting that patients who take good care of their oral hygiene have higher overall OHRQoL scores.

The effect of oral health on the QOL of the individuals in the current research

suggests that their dental health status had an impact on their QoL in one or more additional ways. especially scores of "fairly often" or "very often" in OHIP-14 subscales. The QoL plays a vital role in studying effective oral health status in the community. Approximately two-thirds of the participants assumed that they had psychological discomfort fairly often because they were worried and felt tense because of a problem with their gums. One-third of the patients perceived physical limitation and psychological disability fairly often. Because they had painful aches, found it uncomfortable to eat their food properly, or found it difficult to relax, and were a bit embarrassed because of problems with their gums. These results draw attention to the fact that periodontal diseases affect daily life activities as well as the overall QOL (Ng and Leung, 2006).

The total mean of OHIP-14 significantly increases with increasing severity of periodontal diseases, and the mean values of all subscale scores (functional limitation, physical limitation, psychological discomfort, physical disability, psychological disability, social disability, and handicap) significantly differ by periodontal disease severity. This can be explained by the impact of periodontal diseases on the worsening of OHRQoL. This can be explained by the fact that clinical symptoms such as gingival recessions, bleeding when brushing teeth, gingival redness and swelling, tooth mobility, pathological tooth migration, or recurrent halitosis are caused by dysfunctions of the stomatognathic system, as well as a red complex. These symptoms should only be linked to periodontal disease; therefore, in observational studies, they should be controlled by considering a variety of local

factors that may distort them, e.g., dental caries, mouth and facial pain, increased tooth sensitivity, or the loss of tooth functionality and severe tooth loss (Paśnik-Chwalik, and Konopka, 2020). These results go on par with results from other studies in somewhat similar countries (Yadav, Chopra and Kapoor, 2019; Goergen et al., 2021; Simona, Silvia and Carina, 2014).

Several studies have shown that there is a correlation between the severity of periodontal disease and OHRQoL (Lopes et al., 2009; Cunha-Cruz, Hujoel and Kressin, 2007; Bernabé and Marcenes, 2010). However, the impact of periodontal disease on OHRQoL in the Kurdish population has not yet been thoroughly studied.

A significant positive relationship was found between all subdomains of the OHIP-14 and PI, BI, PPD, and CAL which considered the clinical signs and symptoms of periodontal diseases. Therefore, by increasing the value of PI, BI, PPD, and CAL, there will be an increase in the severity of periodontal diseases, which will cause an increase in the value of subdomains of OHRQoL. Thus, psychological disability (embarrassment, difficulty in being relaxed), physical limitation (difficulty in toothbrushing and eating), functional limitation (trouble in pronouncing, worsening sense of taste), psychological discomfort (worried, and feeling tense), physical disability (unsatisfactory diet, interrupting meals), social disability (irritability with others and difficulty doing the usual job), and handicap (less satisfying life and being unable to function) subdomains of OHRQoL will increase by increasing the value of clinical signs and symptoms of periodontal diseases.

This indicates the majority of problems experienced by periodontal patients that go unobserved during therapy. This demonstrates the need for even more comprehensive planning as regards periodontal treatment goals (Paśnik-Chwalik and Konopka, 2020).

Studying the effects of periodontal disease on OHRQoL in Kurdistan and Iraq is fairly new with much more work to be done, this study was conducted on individuals who are seeking treatment at the periodontal department clinics in Sulaimani, we still need to study the effect of periodontal disease on the QOL of subjects that are not seeking treatment or even unaware of their disease condition. On the other hand, the sample size needs to be expanded to involve more Kurdish cities and populations. Furthermore, studies need to be performed on the effect of periodontal disease on OHRQoL with OHIP-14 or even using other OHRQoL scales.

From the results of this study, we can assume that periodontal disease has a negative effect on the QOL, but the gradual nature of the disease progression over a long period of time may cause the symptoms to go unnoticed by the patients for quite some time, and it appears that providing knowledge about the signs and symptoms of the disease might help in increasing awareness among the general population.

### Supplementary Material

None.

### Funding

This research received no external funding.

### Data Availability Statement

Data are available from the authors upon reasonable request.

### Conflict of interest

The authors reported that they have no conflicts of interest.

### Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank Mustansiriyah University ([www.uomustansiriyah.edu.iq](http://www.uomustansiriyah.edu.iq)), Baghdad, Iraq, for their support in the present work.

### References

1. Abdulbaqi, H.R., Abdulkareem, A.A., Alshami, M.L. and Milward, M.R. (2020) 'The oral health and periodontal diseases awareness and knowledge in the Iraqi population: Online-based survey', *Clinical and Experimental Dental Research*, 6(5), pp. 519-528. <https://doi.org/10.1002/cre2.299>
2. Allen, F. and Locker, D. (2007) 'What do measures of "oral health-related quality of life" measure?', *Community Dentistry and Oral Epidemiology*, 35(6), pp. 401-411. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0528.2007.00318.x>
3. Allen, P.F. (2003) 'Assessment of oral health related quality of life', *Health and Quality of Life Outcomes*, 1, p. 40. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1477-7525-1-40>
4. Assery, M., Ingle, N., Alsanea, J. and Hakami, K. (2020) 'Use of the Arabic Version of Oral Health Impact Profile-14 to Evaluate the Impact of

- Periodontal Disease on Oral Health-related Quality of Life among Saudi Population', *Journal of Oral Health and Community Dentistry*, 14(2), pp. 27-31.
5. Bernabé, E. and Marcenes, W. (2010) 'Periodontal disease and quality of life in British adults', *Journal of Clinical Periodontology*, 37(11), pp. 968-972. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-051X.2010.01627.x>
  6. Campos, L.A. (2021) 'Use of Oral Health Impact Profile-14 (OHIP-14) in Different Contexts. What Is Being Measured?', *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 18(24), p. 12928. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph182412928>
  7. Cunha-Cruz, J., Hujoel, P.P. and Kressin, N.R. (2007) 'Oral health-related quality of life of periodontal patients', *Journal of Periodontal Research*, 42(2), pp. 169-176. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0765.2006.00930.x>
  8. Da Silva Araújo, A.C., Gusmão, E.S., Batista, J.E.M. *et al.* (2010) 'Impact of periodontal disease on quality of life', *Quintessence International*, 41(6), pp. e111-e118.
  9. Gera, A., Cattaneo, P.M. and Cornelis, M.A. (2020) 'A Danish version of the oral health impact profile-14 (OHIP-14): translation and cross-cultural adaptation', *BMC Oral Health*, 20, p. 192. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12903-020-01182-8>
  10. Goergen, J., Albandar, J.M., Oppermann, R.V., Rösing, C.K., Susin, C. and Haas, A.N. (2021) 'Periodontitis stage and grade are associated with poor oral-health-related quality of life: Findings from the Porto Alegre cohort study', *Journal of Clinical Periodontology*, 48(10), pp. 1333-1343. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jcpe.13522>
  11. Goode, J.S. (2020) *Improving access to dental services and promoting oral health for adults experiencing homelessness in a non-capital city area of Victoria: a multi methods study*. Doctoral thesis. University of Tasmania. <https://doi.org/10.25959/23250416.v1>
  12. Hakami, K.Y., Ingle, N.A., Assery, M.K. and Alsanea, J.A. (2020) 'Use of the Arabic Version of Oral Health Impact Profile-14 to Evaluate the Impact of Periodontal Disease on Oral Health-related Quality of Life among Saudi Population', *Journal of Oral Health and Community Dentistry*, 14(2), pp. 27-31.
  13. Jansson, H., Wahlin, Å., Johansson, V., Åkerman, S., Lundgren, N., Isberg, P.E. *et al.* (2014) 'Impact of periodontal disease experience on oral health-related quality of life', *Journal of Periodontology*, 85(3), pp. 438-445. <https://doi.org/10.1902/jop.2013.130188>
  14. John, M.T. (2021) 'Foundations of oral health-related quality of life', *Journal of Oral Rehabilitation*, 48(3), pp. 355-359. <https://doi.org/10.1111/joor.13126>
  15. Kandelman, D., Arpin, S., Baez, R.J., Baehni, P.C. and Petersen, P.E. (2012) 'Oral health care systems in developing and developed countries', *Periodontology 2000*, 60(1), pp. 98-109. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0757.2012.00452.x>

16. Kinane, D.F., Stathopoulou, P.G. and Papapanou, P.N. (2017) 'Periodontal diseases', *Nature Reviews Disease Primers*, 3, p. 17038. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrdp.2017.38>
17. Könönen, E., GURSOY, M. and GURSOY, U.K. (2019) 'Periodontitis: A Multifaceted Disease of Tooth-Supporting Tissues', *Journal of Clinical Medicine*, 8(8), p. 1135. <https://doi.org/10.3390/jcm8081135>
18. Liss, A. (2022) *Evidence-based dental hygienist practice in the non-surgical therapy of patients with periodontitis: outcomes of therapy and factors associated with the quality of care*. Doctoral dissertation. Jönköping University, Sweden.
19. Liu, L.J., Xiao, W., He, Q.B. *et al.* (2012) 'Generic and oral quality of life is affected by oral mucosal diseases', *BMC Oral Health*, 12, p. 2. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1472-6831-12-2>
20. Locker, D. and Allen, F. (2007) 'What do measures of "oral health-related quality of life" measure?', *Community Dentistry and Oral Epidemiology*, 35(6), pp. 401-411. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0528.2007.00318.x>
21. Lopes, M.W.F., Gusmão, E.S., Alves, R.V. and Cimdões, R. (2009) 'The impact of chronic periodontitis on quality of life in Brazilian subjects', *Acta Stomatologica Croatica*, 43(2), pp. 89-98.
22. Ng, S.K. and Leung, W.K. (2006) 'Oral health-related quality of life and periodontal status', *Community Dentistry and Oral Epidemiology*, 34(2), pp. 114-122. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0528.2006.00267.x>
23. Papapanou, P.N., Sanz, M., Buduneli, N., Dietrich, T., Feres, M., Fine, D.H. *et al.* (2018) 'Periodontitis: Consensus report of workgroup 2 of the 2017 World Workshop on the Classification of Periodontal and Peri-Implant Diseases and Conditions', *Journal of Periodontology*, 89(S1), pp. S173-S182. <https://doi.org/10.1002/JPER.17-0721>
24. Papagiannopoulou, V., Oulis, C.J., Papaioannou, W., Antonogeorgos, G. and Yfantopoulos, J. (2012) 'Validation of a Greek version of the oral health impact profile (OHIP-14) for use among adults', *Health and Quality of Life Outcomes*, 10, p. 7. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1477-7525-10-7>
25. Paśnik-Chwalik, B. and Konopka, T. (2020) 'Impact of periodontitis on the Oral Health Impact Profile: A systematic review and meta-analysis', *Dental and Medical Problems*, 57(4), pp. 423-431. <https://doi.org/10.17219/dmp/125028>
26. Saito, A., Hosaka, Y., Kikuchi, M., Akamatsu, M., Fukaya, C., Matsumoto, S. *et al.* (2010) 'Effect of initial periodontal therapy on oral health-related quality of life in patients with periodontitis in Japan', *Journal of Periodontology*, 81(7), pp. 1001-1009. <https://doi.org/10.1902/jop.2010.090664>
27. Shanbhag, S., Dahiya, M. and Croucher, R. (2012) 'The impact of periodontal therapy on oral health-related quality of life in adults: a systematic review', *Journal of Clinical Periodontology*, 39(8), pp. 725-

735. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-051X.2012.01910.x>
28. Simona, G., Silvia, M. and Carina, B. (2014) 'Quality of Life Regarding Patients with Periodontal Disease in Iasi, Romania', *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 127, pp. 15-20. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2014.03.208>
29. Sischo, L. and Broder, H.L. (2011) 'Oral Health-related Quality of Life', *Journal of Dental Research*, 90(11), pp. 1264-1270. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0022034511399918>
30. Slade, G.D. (1997) 'Derivation and validation of a short-form oral health impact profile', *Community Dentistry and Oral Epidemiology*, 25(4), pp. 284-290. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0528.1997.tb00941.x>
31. Teoli, D. and Bhardwaj, A. (2023) *Quality Of Life*. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing. Available at: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK536962/> (Accessed: 25 July 2023).
32. World Health Organization (WHO) (2023) *Basic documents*. Available at: <https://apps.who.int/gb/bd/> (Accessed: 25 July 2023).
33. Yadav, T., Chopra, P. and Kapoor, S. (2019) 'Association between chronic periodontitis and oral health-related quality of life in Indian adults', *Journal of International Oral Health*, 11(5), pp. 280-285. [https://doi.org/10.4103/jioh.jioh\\_81\\_19](https://doi.org/10.4103/jioh.jioh_81_19)
34. Yfantopoulos, J. (2015) 'The oral health related quality of life in different groups of senior citizens as measured by the OHIP-14 questionnaire', *Oral Biology and Dentistry*, 3(1), p. 1. Available at: <https://www.academia.edu/47009480/> (Accessed: 9 December 2022).