Mustansiria Dental Journal
MDJ

Vol.20, No.02, 12/2024
P- ISSN: 2618-0944
E- ISSN: 1813-8500

Evaluation of skeletal maturity in Type 1 Diabetic Mellitus subjects

Duaa Abd Matar[1], Hayder Fadhil Saloom[2]

[1]Postgraduate Student, Orthodontic Department, Collage of Dentistry, University of Baghdad, Iraq, E-mail:- doaa.abd1203a@codental.uobaghdad.edu.iq.

[2]Professor, Orthodontic Department, Collage of Dentistry, University of Baghdad, Iraq, E-mail:- saloom.hayder@gmail.com, ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8922-3769.

Received 12/10/2023 ***Accepted in revised form 21/12/2024*** ***Published 30/12/2024***

Abstract

Introduction: The accurate assessment of skeletal maturation and residual growth is critically important in orthodontics, especially in insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus growing subjects in which the available data on their growth are conflicting and are mainly derived from cross-sectional studies. **Aim of the study:** This study aimed to examine the association between the calcification stages of the second mandibular molar, the maturation of the middle finger phalanx, and the chronological age of the patients. **Material and Methods:** The sample consisted of 80 Iraqi subjects of Type1 Diabetic Mellitus (T1DM), 40 males and 40 females. For each subject, two per apical radiographic were used, one for the mandibular right second molar and the other for the middle phalanx of the middle finger (MP3). Demirjian method (1973) was assessed for the ossification of teeth, while Staging of the Middle phalanx of the third finger radiographs was done according to the Rajagopal and Kansal method. **Result:** The results showed no significant association between skeletal and dental maturity for both genders in T1DM growing subjects and a negative association can be seen between dental age and skeletal age in total, male and female T1DM subjects. **Conclusion:** mandibular second molar calcification stages of Demirgian's classification are unreliable as a skeletal maturity indicator in TIDM.

Keywords: Demirjian method. MP3. T1DM.

Introduction

The World Health Organization defines diabetes as a metabolic illness described by persistently high blood sugar levels and encompasses disruptions in the metabolism of carbohydrates, lipids, and proteins. The aforementioned disruptions stem from inadequacies in either the synthesis of insulin, the functioning of insulin, or both[1]. A study examining the growth patterns of children with Diabetes found that both male and female individuals exhibited varying degrees of decreased growth[2,3].

The assessment of dental development and skeletal maturity is widely utilized in clinical practice within different healthcare fields. The existence of significant disparities in developmental progress among children has diminished the significance of chronological age in assessing a child's maturation stage, thereby giving rise to the physiologic age importance. The physiologic age concept refers to the pace at which an individual progresses towards maturity. This measure is typically determined by assessing indicators like somatic, sexual, skeletal, and dental development[4,5,6].

Skeletal maturation is assessed through an observation of developing bones, including their initial appearance as well as any future growth and shape changes associated with ossification. Hand-wrist radiographs are frequently employed to assess skeletal growth, as demonstrated by numerous studies [7,8,9,10,11].

The middle phalanx of the third finger (MP3) can be used for skeletal age evaluation of an individual[12]. The MP3 region's anatomical alterations can be easily documented by employing periapical X-ray film, which reduces the amount of X-ray exposure. Consequently, this method is a simple, dependable, cost-effective, and effective approach to assessing skeletal maturity[13].

The evaluation of dental age in adolescents and children can be achieved by examining the phases of tooth mineralization or the timing of the tooth eruption. The method proposed by Demirjian[14] improves the accuracy of mineralization phases in teeth by incorporating the ratios of root length to crown height, rather than relying solely on absolute length measurements. This method enhances the overall quality of the evaluation by reducing the influence of inaccuracies in the projections of developing teeth[15].

Various methodologies have been implemented to evaluate maturation in the present day, such as the utilization of biomarkers like insulin-like growth factor 1

(IGF-1) that are present in blood serum or gingival crevicular fluid (GCF) extraction[16]. In this context, alkaline phosphatase (ALP) has also been examined as a biomarker. Nevertheless, the radiograph remains the primary diagnostic method[17, 18, 19].

This study was intended to examine the relationship between the developmental stages of the third metacarpal bone (MP3) and the calcification phases of the mandibular second molar in both sexes. Moreover, the objective of the investigation was to ascertain whether these phases could be regarded as reliable indicators for assessing skeletal maturation in children who have been diagnosed with Type 1 Diabetes Mellitus.

Material and Methods

Participants in this cross-sectional study were chosen from those undergoing treatment at Baghdad's Children's Welfare Teaching Hospital. The study sample consisted of 80 participants, who were evenly distributed between two groups: 40 males and 40 females. Participants were chosen according to particular criteria and were aged 8 to 15. The sample was further divided into three categories, which represented the age groups of prepubertal, pubertal, and postpubertal. Before initiating the investigation, the ethical committee at the College of Dentistry, University of Baghdad, with reference number (604422), granted legal approval. Subsequently, the parents of the participant were asked to provide informed consent by signing the consent form.

The inclusion criteria included no documented medical record of any prior instances of trauma affecting the facial or hand-wrist areas. Patients who had not undergone orthodontic treatment in the past were included in the study. However, individuals with hypothyroidism or liver illness were excluded due to the potential impact of these conditions on bone maturation, and the assessment of radiographs. Additionally, those with a history of hand trauma or injury that could affect the evaluation of radiographs were also removed. The patient's date of birth, including the specific day, month, and year, was recorded. Subsequently, the patient's medical history was obtained. On the same day, two peri apical radiographs were taken one for mandibular second molar and the other for MP3.

The calcification stages, as described in the method designed by Demirjian et al., were employed to assess dental maturity [14] as seen in Table 1 and Figure 1, this assessment was performed using digital radiographs of the lower right second molar.

Table 1: Demirjian's Index for dental age estimation

Stage A	Single occlusal spots that were calcified without the union of calcification areas.
Stage B	Fusion has occurred at the points of mineralization; dentine production and the contour of the occlusal surface have commenced
Stage C	The crown had been fully formed.
Stage D	Upon the completion of crown development at the CEJ level, root formation commenced.
Stage E	When the root length was less than the crown, calcification began through bifurcation.
Stage F	length of the root is equivalent to crown height, and roots exhibit an original shape.
Stage G	Root canal walls are parallel, with the apex end accessible.
Stage H	The apex has been closed and the root formation has been completed.

Figure 1: the mandibular developmental stages as presented by Demirjian et al. (1973).

The mandibular second molar was captured using an intraoral per apical film that was securely positioned in a film-holding device, following the paralleling approach. Subsequently, the film was positioned in contact with the lingual aspect of the jaw, ensuring that the posterior surface of the film extended to the retromolar region. The radiographic presentation of the mandibular right second molar was assessed using the methodology outlined by Demirjian [14] which involves categorizing the tooth's calcification into eight stages denoted by the letters A to H. The dental stages identified in this study spanned from stage D to stage H.

The developmental stages of the middle finger were recorded using intraoral per apical films. The participants were provided with instructions to position their left hand in a palm-

downward orientation on a level table surface. The peri apical film was positioned to capture the middle finger. The X-ray machine's cone was positioned perpendicularly to the film, specifically targeting the middle phalanx. The modified MP3 approach, as outlined by Rajagopal and Kansal, was employed to assess skeletal maturity [20] based on digital radiography. Per apical radiographs for MP3 offer reduced radiation exposure compared to orthopantomography, cephalometric radiographs, and hand-wrist radiographs. Additionally, they are more cost-effective and widely accessible in dental clinics [21].

The approach consists of six stages, as seen in Table 2 and Figure 2. The radiograph recordings for each sample were staged independently by two researchers at separate instances.

Table 2: The ossification stages of MP3 described by Rajagopal and Kansal 2002

MP3-F	The epiphysis is equivalent to the metaphysis in width. The extremities of the epiphysis are rounded and tapered. There is no indication of undulation in the metaphysis. The epiphysis and metaphysis are separated by a wide radiolucent fissure. This signifies the commencement of the pubertal growth explosion.
MP3-FG	The epiphysis is equivalent to the metaphysis in width. Beginnings of undulation are evident in the metaphysis. The metaphysis and epiphysis are separated by a wide radiolucent fissure.
MP3-G	A pointed edge grows distally on one or both sides of the epiphysis, which is thickened and caps its metaphysis. The metaphysis exhibits a distinct undulation that resembles a "Cupid bow." The radiolucent distance between the epiphysis and metaphysis is moderate.
MP3-H	Fusion of the epiphysis and metaphysis has commenced. Start of epiphyseal narrowing. Seen beneath the central portion of the metaphysis is a slight convexity. As the radiolucent distance narrows.
MP3-HI	The metaphysis exhibits a uniform convex surface that nearly matches the reciprocal concavity of the superior surface of the epiphysis. The metaphysis is devoid of undulation. The radiolucent distance between the epiphysis and metaphysis is negligible.
MP3-I	The epiphysis and metaphysis Fusion are finished. The metaphysis and epiphysis are not separated by a radiolucent fissure.

F FG G H HI I

Figure 2: The stages of the modified MP3 method as outlined by Rajagopal and Kansal

The tooth formation stage of the patients was afterward compared to the equivalent phases of skeletal maturity in order to determine if there is any correlation between these two variables.

To facilitate the estimation of puberty peak, the skeletal and dental classification stages can be divided into 3 stages: prepubertal phase, pubertal phase, and postpubertal phase as shown in Table 3 [22, 23].

Table 3: The relative distributions of the dental maturation stages and MP3 stages to the pubertal phases.

MP3 stages	**Pubertal phases**	**Lower 2nd molar stage**
MP3- F	prepubertal	D
MP3-FG		E
		F
MP3-G	pubertal	G
MP3-H		
MP3-HI	postpubertal	H
MP3-I		

Results

Procedures for Calibration

A-Inter-examiner calibration: The researcher determined the skeletal and dental ages of 10 cases. Decisions were also made by radiologists and orthodontists, both experts in their respective fields, to ensure accuracy.

B-Intra-examiner calibration: After one month, the same 10 cases were re-evaluated by the researcher to determine their skeletal age, skeletal maturation stage, and tooth maturation stage. These results were then compared to the initial readings to assess consistency.

Cohen's Kappa scores for MP3 were 0.855 and dental maturity was 0.830, respectively.

The statistical package for social science (IBM Corp., 2017. IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 25.0. Armonk, NY: IBM Corp.) was employed to analyze all of the data. To determine whether quantitative data were distributed normally, the Shapiro-Wilk test was carried out. Since they weren't, non-parametric tests were used, and the Chi-square test was used to see if there were any changes between the groups.

To explore the association between dental age and skeletal age, the frequency and distribution of the stages of calcification were recorded and separated according to pubertal phases, as shown in Table 3. These were determined individually for male and female individuals (**Table 6)**.

In females, the distribution of 2nd molar stages was almost equal except stage G occupied the highest value representing the pubertal phase at about 35%, while in MP3 stages G and H represented the highest percentage and the lowest was stage I and F **(Table 4).** In the pre-pubertal phase of 2nd molar occupied the highest percentage of all the phases, while in MP3 pubertal phase represented the highest value **(Table 5)**. The associations between 2nd molar and MP3 for female subjects. The value of X2 was 4.583 at 2 degrees of freedom ($p \geq 005$), showing that there is no significant association between dental age and skeletal age for female participants (**Table 6)**.

Males, regarding the frequency and distribution of 2nd molar and MP3 stages in males, in 2nd molar stages the lowest value was F followed by the D stage, and the highest value was the E stage then G and H stage **(Table 4).**

In the MP3 stages, there was a gradual decrease from the highest-level F stage to reach the lowest limit in the H and I stage **(Table 4).** Almost equal percentage between the 2nd molar and MP3 prepubertal and pubertal phases while the post-pubertal phase of MP3 had less percentage than the 2nd molar **(Table 5).**

The correlation between the 2nd molar and MP3 pubertal phases as X^2 value was 3.135 at 2 degrees of freedom ($p \geq 005$) as there is no significant association (**Table 6)**.

The chi-square test (X2) value was estimated to examine the association between the MP3 calcification stage and 2nd molar stage for total subjects, X^2 was 1.337, and degree of freedom was 2 and the result was no significant association ($p \geq 005$) **(Table 6).**

Table 4: Distribution and frequency for 2nd molar and MP3 stages in male and female.

Female	**2nd molar stage**					**MP3 stage**					
Age	D	E	F	G	H	F	FG	G	H	HI	I
8	5					5					
9	1	4				1	4				
10	1		4				1	4			
11			1	4				2	3		
12		2		2	1			2	1	2	
13		1		4				1	2	2	
14			1	3	1				2	2	1
15				1	4				1	1	3
total	7	7	6	14	6	6	5	9	9	7	4
Percentage%	17.5	17.5	15	35	15	15	12.5	22.5	22.5	17.5	10

Male	**2nd molar stage**					**MP3 stage**					
Age	**D**	**E**	**F**	**G**	**H**	**F**	**FG**	**G**	**H**	**HI**	**I**
8	3	2				4	1				
9	2	3				2	3				
10		5				5					
11				5		2	1	2			
12		2		3			2	3			
13				2	3		1	2	2		
14		1		2	2		1	1	3		
15					5				1	2	2
total	5	13		12	10	13	9	8	6	2	2
Percentage %	12.5	32.5	0	30	25	32.5	22.5	20	15	5	5

Table 5: pubertal phases for 2nd molar and MP3 in male and female

Age	Gender	2nd molar pre	MP3 Pre	2nd molar peak	MP3 peak	2nd molar post	MP3 post
8	Male	5	5				
	Female	5	5				
9	Male	5	5				
	Female	5	5				
10	Male	5	5				
	Female	5	1		4		
11	Male	2	2	5	3		
	Female	1		4	5		
12	Male		2	3	3		
	Female	2		2	3	1	2
13	Male	1	1	2	4	3	
	Female	1		4	3		2
14	Male		1	2	4	2	
	Female	1		3	2	1	3
15	Male				1	5	4
	Female			1	1	4	4
Total	Male	18	21	12	15	10	4
	Female	20	11	14	18	6	11
percentage	Male	45%	52.5%	30%	37.5%	25%	10%
	Female	50%	27%	35%	45%	15%	27%

Table 6: Association between 2nd molar stage and MP3 stage in Total, Male and Female

Pubertal	2nd molar	MP3	Total	2nd molar	MP3	Male	2nd molar	MP3	Female
Pre	38	33	71	18	21	45	20	11	26
At	26	32	58	12	15	26	14	18	34
Post	16	15	31	10	4	9	6	11	19
Total	80	80	160	40	40	80	40	40	80
Chi-square		1.005		3.135			4.583		
Degree of freedom		2		2			2		
P value		0.604		0.208			0.101		



Figure 3: correlation between MP3calcification stage and 2nd molar stage for the total sample

Discussion

Dental development is tightly controlled by heredity and has little or nothing to do with hormonal changes during puberty, nutrition, or the environment. Even if a person has a severe systemic disease, it doesn't seem to have much of an effect on how their teeth grow[24]. So, estimating dental age as a way to predict skeletal growth is not likely to be helpful. This fits with what other researchers have said about estimating the pubertal growth spurt based on how old a person's teeth are[25.]

In this study, The Demirjian method was employed to quantify dental maturation, as it is predicated on the proportion of root length and shape parameters, not the absolute length to crown height.

So, the fact that growing teeth may have shorter or longer projections won't change how well they can be judged[14].

The benefit of using the second molar is that it continues to grow and develop for a longer time. In normal children, the ends may not close until they are 16 years old[26] Because it is harder to estimate maxillary molars, Sometimes the roots of the maxillary molars touch other parts of the body, Like the lower edge of the zygomatic arch, as well as the septum of the maxillary sinus causing it difficult to detect the roots.

[27]. The second molars show the strongest correlation with skeletal maturity, while the third molars exhibit the weakest correlation in individuals of both genders[28].

Skeletal growth is influenced by various factors, but in normal, healthy children, it typically occurs simultaneously with tooth development. While a correlation is known to exist, it is unlikely to be highly accurate, especially for children with unusual growth patterns. However, these are the individuals for whom precise predictions are most critical[29].

In this study, no significant correlation between MP3 and 2nd molar stages was found in total male and female subjects, which agreed with other studies that found no association between dental and skeletal ages[30, 31] while disagreeing with another study that revealed significant relationships between the skeletal and dental maturation level[32, 33, 34, 35]

. Three factors contribute to the difference between skeletal age and chronological age: variability in skeletal maturation rates among individuals, which leads to systematic errors in methods for estimating skeletal age, The

disparity in research results may be partially attributed to the diverse methodologies used to assess skeletal and dental development; and variability caused by differences among observers[34].

In our study, the lowest and nearly equal distribution between males and females was observed in the post-pubertal phase for both the second molar and MP3, with no gender difference. This could be attributed to the closure of the apex of the second lower molar occurred at a similar age range of 14.5 to 15.4 years. These findings align with the result of previous studies[37,38] but contradict another study, which reported that 80% of females are in the post-peak stage compared to less than 10% of males[21].

Conclusion

- Mandibular second molar calcification stages of Demirgian's classification are unreliable as a skeletal maturity indicator in TIDM showing no significant correlation with MP3 stages of skeletal maturation despite of approximate frequency between them.

- Skeletal maturation cannot be predicted solely based on chronological age.

Conflicts Of Interest

The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this manuscript"

References

1- Shukur SJ, Hussein WA, Kadhum NL. Quality of Life of Parents of Children with Type I Diabetes Mellitus, Baghdad 2017. AL-Kindy College Medical Journal. 2021 Aug 30;17(2):107-14. https://doi.org/10.47723/kcmj.v17i2.536

2- Abduljabbar HA. Growth Indices among Children and Adolescents with Type 1 Diabetes-Baghdad–Iraq, 2013. J Fac Med Baghdad. 2014;56:258-63. https://doi.org/10.32007/jfacmedbagdad.563492

3- Hadi ZS, Al-Kaseer EA, Al-Zubaidi MA. Growth of diabetic children in post conflict Baghdad, Iraq. Journal of the Faculty of Medicine Baghdad. 2018 Apr 1;60(1):69-73. https://doi.org/10.32007/jfacmedbagdad.60155

4- Chertkow S, Fatti P. The relationship between tooth mineralization and early radiographic evidence of the ulnar sesamoid. The Angle Orthodontist. 1979 Oct 1;49(4):282-8.. https://doi.org/10.1043/0003-3219(1979)049%3C0282:trbtma%3E2.0.co;2

5- Demirjian A, Buschang PH, Tanguay R, Patterson DK. Interrelationships among measures of somatic, skeletal, dental, and sexual maturity. American journal of orthodontics. 1985 Nov 1;88(5):433-8.

https://doi.org/10.1016/0002-9416(85)90070-3

6- Sierra AM. Assessment of dental and skeletal maturity: a new approach. The Angle Orthodontist. 1987 Jul 1;57(3):194-208. https://doi.org/10.1043/00033219(1987)057%3C0194:AODASM%3E2.0.CO;2

7- Bjork A. Timing of interceptive orthodontic measures based on stages of maturation. Trans Eur Orthod Soc. 1972;48: 61–74.

8- Grave KG. Physiological indicators in orthodontic diagnosis and treatment planning. Aust Orthod J. 1978;5:114–122

9- Ha¨gg U, Taranger J. Skeletal stages of the hand and wrist as indicators of the pubertal growth spurt. Acta Odontol Scand. 1980;38(3):187–200. https://doi.org/10.3109/00016358009004719

10- Fishman LS. Radiographic evaluation of skeletal maturation. Angle Orthod. 1982;52:88–112.

11- Grave K. The use of the hand and wrist radiograph in skeletal age assessment; and why skeletal age assessment is important. Aust Orthod J. 1994;13:96.

12- Hagg U, Taranger J. Maturation indicators and the pubertal growth spurt. Am J Orthod. 1982; 82:299-309

13- - Hegde DY, Baliga S, Yeluri R, Munshi AK. Digital radiograph of the middle phalanx of the third finger (MP3) region as a tool for skeletal maturity assessment. Indian Journal of Dental Research. 2012 Jul 1;23(4):447-53. https://doi.org/10.4103/0970-9290.104947

14- Demirjian A, Goldstein H, Tanner JM. A new system of dental age assessment. Human biology. 1973 May 1:211-27..

15- Krailassiri S, Anuwongnukroh N, Dechkunakorn S. Relationships between dental calcification stages and skeletal maturity indicators in Thai individuals. The Angle Orthodontist. 2002 Apr 1;72(2):155-66. https://doi.org/10.1043/00033219(2002)072%3C0155:RBDCSA%3E2.0.CO;2

16- Saloom HF, Papageorgiou SN, Carpenter GH, Cobourne MT. Impact of obesity on orthodontic tooth movement in adolescents: a prospective clinical cohort study. Journal of dental research. 2017 May;96(5):547-54.. https://doi.org/10.1177/0022034516688448

17- Masoud M, Masoud I, Kent RL Jr., Gowharji N, Cohen LE. Assessing skeletal maturity by using blood spot insulin like growth factor I (IGF I) testing. Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop 2008;134:209 16. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajodo.2006.09.063

18- Perinetti G, Baccetti T, Contardo LU, Di Lenarda R. Gingival crevicular fluid alkaline phosphatase activity as a non-invasive biomarker of skeletal maturation. Orthodontics & craniofacial research. 2011 Feb;14(1):44-50.. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1601-6343.2010.01506.x

19- Saloom HF, Carpenter GH. Saliva and Gingival Crevicular Fluid: Contributions to Mucosal Defense. Oral Mucosa in Health and Disease: A Concise Handbook. 2018:91-103.

20- Rajagopal R, Kansal S. A comparison of modified MP3 stages and the cervical vertebrae as growth indicators. J Clin Orthod 2002;36:398–406.

21- Saloom HF. Detection of skeletal maturity using periapical radiographs (a study on Iraqi growing sample). J Bagh Coll Dentistry. 2011;23(sp. issue):155-61.

22-Surendran S, Thomas E. Tooth mineralization stages as a diagnostic tool for assessment of skeletal maturity. American Journal of Orthodontics and Dentofacial Orthopedics. 2014 Jan 1;145(1):7-14. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajodo.2013.09.007

23- Saloom HF. Reliability of maxillary canine calcification stages and salivary alkaline phosphatase for pubertal growth prediction (cross-sectional study).

24- Kostara A, Roberts GJ, Gelbier M. Dental maturity in children with dystrophic epidermolysis bullosa. Pediatric dentistry. 2000 Sep 1;22(5):385-8.

25- Perinetti G, Westphalen GH, Biasotto M, Salgarello S, Contardo L. The diagnostic performance of dental maturity for identification of the circumpubertal growth phases: a meta-analysis. Prog Orthod 2013;14:8-12. https://doi.org/10.1186/2196-1042-14-8

26- S. Kumar, A. Singla, R. Sharma, M. S. Virdi, A. Anupam, and B. Mittal, "Skeletal maturation evaluation using mandibular second molar calcification stages," The Angle Orthodontist, vol. 82, no. 3, pp. 501–506, 2012. https://doi.org/10.2319/051611-334.1

27- Cho SM, Hwang CJ. Skeletal maturation evaluation using mandibular third molar. Korean J Orthod. 2009;39(2): 120–129. https://doi.org/10.4041/kjod.2009.39.2.120

28- Uysal T, Sari Z, Ramoglu SI, Basciftci FA. Relationships between dental and skeletal maturity in Turkish subjects. The Angle Orthodontist. 2004 Oct 1;74(5):657-64. https://doi.org/10.1043/0003-3219(2004)074%3C0657:RBDASM%3E2.0.CO;2

29- Houston WJ, Miller JC, Tanner JM. Prediction of the timing of the adolescent

growth spurt from ossification events in hand—wrist films. British Journal of Orthodontics. 1979 Jul 1;6(3):145-52. https://doi.org/10.1179/bjo.6.3.145

30- Şahin Sağlam AM, Gazilerli Ü. The relationship between dental and skeletal maturity. Journal of Orofacial Orthopedics/Fortschritte der Kieferorthopädie. 2002 Jun 1;63(6):454-62. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007%2Fs00056-002-0029-1

31- Koutsikou T, Sidiropoulou S, Kawadia S. Study of dental and skeletal maturation and investigation of their relationship in Greek adolescents with normal occlusion. Hellenic Orthodontic Review. 2003 May 1;6(1).

32- Motghare PC, Bedia AS, Degwekar SS, Indurkar AD, Bedia S. Correlation of calcification of permanent mandibular canine, mandibular premolars, and permanent mandibular first and second molars with skeletal maturity in Indian population. Journal of forensic dental sciences. 2016 May;8(2):67.https://doi.org/10.4103%2F0975-1475.186370

33- Kumar S, Singla A, Sharma R, Virdi MS, Anupam A, Mittal B. Skeletal maturation evaluation using mandibular second molar calcification stages. The Angle Orthodontist. 2012 May 1;82(3):501-6. https://doi.org/10.2319/051611-334.1

34- Jourieh A, Khan H, Mheissen S, Assali M, Alam MK. The correlation between dental stages and skeletal maturity stages. BioMed Research International. 2021 Jun 10;2021. https://doi.org/10.1155/2021/9986498

35- Poulsen AR, Sonnesen L. Association between dental and skeletal maturation in Scandinavian children born between 2005 and 2010. Acta Odontologica Scandinavica. 2023 Aug 18;81(6):464-72. https://doi.org/10.1080/00016357.2023.2176920

36- Marconi V, Iommi M, Monachesi C, Faragalli A, Skrami E, Gesuita R, Ferrante L, Carle F. Validity of age estimation methods and reproducibility of bone/dental maturity indices for chronological age estimation: a systematic review and meta-analysis of validation studies. Scientific Reports. 2022 Sep 16;12(1):15607. https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/link_gateway/2022NatSR..1215607M/doi:10.1038/s41598-022-19944-5

37- Usman M. The Reliability Of Stages Of Calcification Of Permanent Mandibular Ii Molar As A Skeletal Maturity Indicator Of Individuals As Compared To Ossification Stages Of Middle Phalanx Of Third Finger (Mp3)–A Radiographic Study (Doctoral dissertation, Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences (India)).

38- Ogodescu AE, Ogodescu A, Szabo K, Tudor A, Bratu E. Dental Maturity-a biologic indicator of chronological age: Digital radiographic study to assess Dental age in Romanian children. Int J Biol Biomed Eng. 2011;5(1):32-40.