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Abstract

The prevalence of metabolic syndrome (MetS) is increasing worldwide, and it appears to increase independently the risk of cardiovascular disease. Periodontitis has been shown to have an association with the risk of cardiovascular disease.

The aim of the present study is to investigate the association between periodontal status and the main components of metabolic syndrome, singly, and in combination in type 2 diabetic patients.

One hundred and seventy five patients (96 males and 79 females) with type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) were enrolled in the study. The following clinical characteristics were reported: age and gender, body mass index (BMI) and blood pressure. Periodontal status was assessed using periodontal disease index which includes plaque, calculus, and periodontal component of the index. An assessment of serum lipid analytes included estimation of serum triglycerides (TG) and serum high density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C).

There was a significant difference in mean value of periodontal disease index (PDI) between normotensive and hypertensive diabetic patients (3.31±0.83 vs. 3.82±0.65, P<0.001). Also there was a significant difference in PDI between normal and high TG groups (3.49±0.73 vs. 3.81±0.61, P<0.01). There was a significant increase in TG level in hypertensive compared to normotensive diabetic patients (P<0.01). Only in presence of hypertension and obesity in addition to diabetes that PDI was significantly increased in comparison with diabetic hypertensive non obese patients (4.1±0.58 vs. 3.62±0.64, P<0.001). There were no significant differences in TG level between obese and non obese diabetic patients while there was a significant increase in TG level in patients who were hypertensive obese compared to normotensive non obese (174.64 ±61.39 vs. 150.80±54.96 respectively, P<0.05). Presence of two or more characteristics of MetS has resulted in a significant increase of PDI when compared with patients who had only diabetes (P<0.001).

In conclusion, hypertension and hypertriglyceridemia as main components of MetS are singly associated with periodontitis in T2DM and the presence of any two studied components of MetS in addition to diabetes will attain the worst deterioration in periodontal status.
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Introduction

Metabolic syndrome (MetS) is a cluster of metabolic abnormalities that appears to be associated with an elevated risk of cardiovascular disease (1, 2). The prevalence of this syndrome is increasing worldwide and there is an agreement that it is a major public health challenge (3).

The definition of MetS has remained a matter of debate by several authoritative groups which have proposed the criteria to define this syndrome to be used in early clinical identification and in research purposes (4-6). The criteria proposed by world health organization included a clinical evidence of insulin resistance, such as impaired glucose tolerance or type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM), as a central feature, with two or more related abnormalities (elevated blood pressure, dyslipidemia, or obesity) (4).

Each component of MetS has been found to increase independently the risk of cardiovascular disease (7-10). However, many studies have reported that the accumulation of these components will enhance the risk of vascular disease more significantly (11,12).

Mild forms of periodontitis affect most adults, and more severe forms affect 5% to 20% of the population in some communities (13). Periodontitis has been shown to have an association with the risk of cardiovascular disease (14,15) and it seems that the factors that place an individual at risk of periodontitis also place him at risk of cardiovascular disease (14-16). These factors were mainly high blood pressure (17,18), obesity (19) and dyslipidemia (20,21).

Periodontitis is more common in diabetic patients than non diabetics (22) and virtually, all patients with T2DM have some degree of insulin resistance, the central feature of MetS (23). Moreover, the main components of MetS such as obesity, hypertension, and plasma lipid disorders are prevalent in diabetes mellitus (24). Therefore, the aim of study is to investigate the association between periodontal disease and the main components of metabolic syndrome, singly, and in accumulation in type 2 diabetic patients.

Materials and Methods

This study was conducted in the National diabetes center, University of Al –Mustansiriya, Baghdad-Iraq. One hundred and seventy five patients (96 males and 79 females) with T2DM were enrolled in the study. Their ages were in the range of (31-71) years and the duration of their disease ranged from (1-25) years.

Medical examination:

Medical history was taken by personal interviewing with the help of a printed questionnaire. All measurements were undertaken by the same examiner. Exclusion was made for those who had a concurrent acute illness or another major systemic disease except hypertension. Also patients who were taking lipid lowering agents or were smokers were excluded. The following clinical characteristics were reported:
1- Age and gender
2- Weight and height in order to calculate body mass index (BMI)
3- Blood pressure measurement or a history of hypertension.

Characteristics of metabolic syndrome:
1- Hypertension: Blood pressure measurement higher than 140/90 or the presence of hypertension on treatment was reported as hypertensive (4)
2-Obesity: BMI > 30 was considered as obese (4).
3-Serum triglycerides level (TG): TG level >150 mg/dl was considered abnormal (25).
4-Serum high density lipoprotein-cholesterol (HDL-C): HDL-C level <40 mg/dl in males and <45 in females was considered abnormal (25).

Dental examination:
Dental measurements were undertaken by a single experienced examiner (the examiner has passed both inter- and intra-examiner calibrations). Periodontal status was assessed using periodontal disease index according to Sigurd P. and Ramfjord 1959 including plaque, calculus, and periodontal component of the index (26).

Teeth examined: maxillary right first molar, maxillary left central, maxillary left first bicuspid, mandibular left first molar, mandibular right central, mandibular right first bicuspid. If any of the teeth are missing or unerupted, then only the teeth present are examined. The patients who were enrolled in this study had to have up to eleven teeth.

Laboratory analyses:
Specimen:
Serum samples were used for lipid profile analysis that was performed on the same day of the visit of the patient.

Lipid profile assay:
Serum triglycerides level was determined by totally enzymatic method (27). Estimation of serum HDL-C was done by precipitation with phosphotungstate-MgCl₂ solution followed by enzymatic determination of cholesterol in the supernatant (28).

Statistical analysis:
Data were presented in simple statistical measures of number, percentage, mean and standard deviation. Statistical analysis was done by using Student's t-test for the significance of difference of quantitative data between two mean values. A probability value (p<0.05) was considered to be statistically significant.

Results
Comparison of the means of plaque, calculus, and periodontal disease index between two groups of study patients who were classified according to the presence or absence of a certain characteristic of MetS is shown in Table 1 as follows:
1- Hypertension: The means of plaque, calculus, and periodontal disease index for normotensive and hypertensive diabetic patients were (1.59±0.49 vs. 1.61±0.58, 0.81±0.59 vs. 0.78±0.68, and 3.31±0.83 vs. 3.82±0.65 respectively). This revealed a significant difference in periodontal disease index between normotensive and hypertensive diabetic patients (P<0.001) while no significant differences in plaque index and calculus index (Table 1).
2- Obesity: No significant differences in the means of plaque, calculus, and periodontal disease index were detected between obese and non-obese diabetic patients (Table 1).
3- TG: The means of plaque, calculus, and periodontal disease index for diabetic patients with normal serum TG or high serum TG were (1.64±0.57 vs. 1.54±0.5, 0.83±0.67 vs. 0.74±0.60, and 3.49±0.73 vs. 3.81±0.61 respectively). The difference in periodontal disease index was significant (P<0.01) while differences in plaque index and calculus index between the two groups were not significant (Table 1).
4- HDL-C: No significant differences in the means of plaque, calculus, and periodontal disease index were detected between patients with normal HDL-C or low HDL-C level.

Analytes of dyslipidemia were compared in type 2 diabetic patients in the presence or absence of certain metabolic characteristics in table (2). There was a significant increase in TG level in hypertensive compared to normotensive diabetic patients (P<0.01) while there was no significant difference in HDL-C level between the two groups. There were no significant differences in TG and HDL-C levels between obese and non obese diabetic patients (Table 2).

In table 3, periodontal status of type 2 diabetic patients was analyzed according to accumulation of metabolic characteristics (Diabetes plus hypertension with or without obesity and dyslipidemia). Only in the presence of hypertension and obesity in diabetics that periodontal disease index was significantly increased in comparison with diabetic hypertensive non obese patients (4.1±0.58 vs. 3.62±0.64 respectively, P<0.001) (Table 3).

Analytes of dyslipidemia were compared in type 2 diabetic patients according to presence of one or two metabolic characteristics (hypertension or both hypertension and obesity) (Table 4). There was a significant increase in TG level in patients who were hypertensive obese compared to normotensive non obese (174.64 ±61.39 vs. 150.80±54.96 respectively, P<0.05). There was no significant difference in HDL-C level between the two groups (Table 4).

In table 5, periodontal status of type 2 diabetic patients was analyzed in presence of two or three or four metabolic characteristics (hypertension, obesity, TG level, and HDL-C level) in addition to diabetes. Presence of two or more characteristics has resulted in a significant increase of periodontal disease index when compared with patients who had only diabetes (P<0.001, Table 5).

**Discussion**

This study has analyzed the association between components of metabolic syndrome and periodontal status in patients in whom MetS is prevalent [29]. In analyzing each component separately, hypertension was significantly associated with periodontitis. Such an association has been detected in other studies [17,18]. Some authors have attributed the association to endothelial dysfunction which is a common character of hypertension and periodontitis. Endothelial dysfunction, through a decrease in the vasodilator nitric oxide (NO) bioavailability, it decreases arterial vasodilatation. Endothelial dysfunction by itself can be attributed to the state of systemic inflammation that accompanies periodontitis [30,31].

Dyslipidemia is a term that is commonly used to describe the presence of increased serum TG level and/or decreased serum HDL-C level [25]. Our study has detected a significant association between hypertriglyceridemia and periodontitis. Such an association has been detected in other studies [20, 21, 32, 33].

Many investigators still raise the question of the nature between periodontitis and dyslipidemia [20,32,34] inspite of the original blaming of inflammatory response as a mediator of dyslipidemia in periodontitis [35]. In this study, serum TG level was significantly higher in hypertensive diabetic patients compared with normotensive diabetics. Such an association between hypertension and increased TG refers to a possible
compound effect of hypertension and hypertriglyceridemia on periodontitis.

In this analysis, obesity by itself showed no significant association with periodontitis but a significant association was shown if hypertension was present as well. This contradicts other reports that showed an association between obesity and periodontitis (19, 36). Also there was no significant difference in serum TG between obese and non-obese but the difference was significant in presence of hypertension. Moreover our study has detected a significant association between hypertension and hypertriglyceridemia. Thus our results may suggest a role for hypertension in mediating the bad effect of obesity on periodontitis and such a role for hypertension may even explain the association between obesity and increased TG that was reported in many studies (37). In a recent study on the association between body weight, serum lipids and periodontitis, it was suggested that the association between body weight and periodontitis was mainly mediated through a mechanism other than serum lipids (38).

Analysis of the combined effect of the components of MetS on periodontitis has revealed that no additive bad effect of hypertriglyceridemia on periodontal status of diabetic hypertensive obese patients was found. Moreover, an important additive deterioration in periodontal status was detected if any two studied criteria of MetS were present in addition to diabetes but no important further deterioration in periodontal status of type 2 diabetic patients was detected if a third or a fourth criterion was added. This may confirm our idea that hypertension, obesity and hypertriglyceridemia have a common pathway in their link with periodontitis.

We come to the conclusion that hypertension and hypertriglyceridemia as main components of MetS are singly associated with periodontitis in T2DM and the presence of any two studied components of MetS in addition to diabetes will attain the worst deterioration in periodontal status.
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Table 1: Periodontal status of type 2 diabetic patients according to the presence or absence of a metabolic characteristic

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metabolic characteristic (Total N=175)</th>
<th>Number (Percent %)</th>
<th>Plaque Index (PI) (Mean±SD)</th>
<th>Calculus Index (CI) (Mean±SD)</th>
<th>Periodontal disease Index (PDI) (Mean±SD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normotensive</td>
<td>71(40.6)</td>
<td>1.59±0.49</td>
<td>0.81±0.59</td>
<td>3.31±0.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertensive</td>
<td>104(59.4)</td>
<td>1.61±0.58</td>
<td>0.78±0.68</td>
<td>3.82±0.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non Obese</td>
<td>98(44)</td>
<td>1.54±0.6</td>
<td>0.76±0.68</td>
<td>3.53±0.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obese</td>
<td>77(56)</td>
<td>1.69±0.46</td>
<td>0.84±0.59</td>
<td>3.73±0.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TG</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>105(60)</td>
<td>1.64±0.57</td>
<td>0.83±0.67</td>
<td>3.49±0.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abnormal</td>
<td>70(40)</td>
<td>1.54±0.5</td>
<td>0.74±0.60</td>
<td>3.81±0.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL-C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>132(24.6)</td>
<td>1.60±0.57</td>
<td>0.82±0.65</td>
<td>3.56±0.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abnormal</td>
<td>43(75.4)</td>
<td>1.61±0.49</td>
<td>0.71±0.63</td>
<td>3.81±0.45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

N: number
SD: standard deviation
NS: non significant
P<0.01: highly significant

Table 2: Factors of dyslipidemia in type 2 diabetic patients according to presence or absence of certain metabolic characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metabolic characteristic (Total N=175)</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>TG (Mean±SD)</th>
<th>HDL-C (Mean±SD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normotensive</td>
<td>71(40.6)</td>
<td>138.32±48.21</td>
<td>44.79±2.85 NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertensive</td>
<td>104(59.4)</td>
<td>164.85±65.15</td>
<td>45.15±4.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non Obese</td>
<td>98(44)</td>
<td>157.98±62.62</td>
<td>44.55±2.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obese</td>
<td>77(56)</td>
<td>149.13±56.87</td>
<td>45.58±3.14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

N: number
SD: standard deviation
NS: non significant
P<0.01: highly significant
Table 3: Periodontal status of type 2 diabetic patients according to accumulation of defined metabolic characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metabolic characteristic (Total N=175)</th>
<th>Plaque Index (PI) (Mean±SD)</th>
<th>Calculus Index (CI) (Mean±SD)</th>
<th>Periodontal disease Index (PDI) (Mean±SD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Diabetic N=175</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normotensive N=71</td>
<td>1.59±0.49 NS</td>
<td>0.81±0.59 NS</td>
<td>3.31±0.83 P&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertensive N=104</td>
<td>1.61±0.58 NS</td>
<td>0.78±0.68 NS</td>
<td>3.82±0.65 P&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetic+ Hypertensive N=104</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non obese N=60</td>
<td>1.62±0.67 NS</td>
<td>0.72±0.7 NS</td>
<td>3.62±0.64 P&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obese N=44</td>
<td>1.60±0.45 NS</td>
<td>0.88±0.65 NS</td>
<td>4.1±0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetic+ Hypertensive+ Obese N=44</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal TG N=16</td>
<td>1.5±0.33 NS</td>
<td>1.04±0.66 NS</td>
<td>4.23±0.65 NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abnormal TG N=28</td>
<td>1.66±0.51 NS</td>
<td>0.79±0.64 NS</td>
<td>4.02±0.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal HDL-C N=38</td>
<td>1.58±0.44 NS</td>
<td>0.86±0.68 NS</td>
<td>4.12±0.61 NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abnormal HDL-C N=6</td>
<td>1.7±0.58 NS</td>
<td>1.00±0.52 NS</td>
<td>3.97±0.34</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

N : number  
SD: standard deviation  
NS: non significant  
P<0.01: highly significant

Table 4: Factors of dyslipidemia in type 2 diabetic patients according to presence of one or two metabolic characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metabolic characteristic (Total N=175)</th>
<th>TG (Mean±SD)</th>
<th>HDL-C (Mean±SD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Diabetic N=175</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normotensive N=71</td>
<td>138.32±48.21 P&lt;0.01</td>
<td>44.79±2.85 NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertensive N=104</td>
<td>164.85±65.15 P&lt;0.05</td>
<td>45.15±4.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetic+ Hypertensive N=104</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non obese N=60</td>
<td>150.80±54.96 P&lt;0.05</td>
<td>44.47±4.96 NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obese N=44</td>
<td>174.64±61.39 P&lt;0.01</td>
<td>46.09±3.12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

N : number  
SD: standard deviation  
NS: non significant  
P<0.05: significant  
P<0.01: highly significant
Table 5: Periodontal status of type 2 diabetic patients according to presence of an additional one or more metabolic characteristic

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metabolic characteristic (Total N=175)</th>
<th>Plaque Index (PI) (Mean±SD)</th>
<th>Calculus Index (CI) (Mean±SD)</th>
<th>Periodontal disease Index (PDI) (Mean±SD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Diabetic N=18</td>
<td>1.37±0.33</td>
<td>0.99±0.67</td>
<td>3.21±1.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetic plus one metabolic characteristic N=67</td>
<td>1.68±0.66 NS</td>
<td>0.74±0.62 NS</td>
<td>3.26±0.76 NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetic plus two metabolic characteristics N=46</td>
<td>1.55±0.49 NS</td>
<td>0.86±0.71 NS</td>
<td>4.00±0.56 P&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetic plus three or four metabolic characteristics N=44</td>
<td>1.62±0.46 P&lt;0.05</td>
<td>0.73±0.58 NS</td>
<td>3.93±0.51 P&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

N : number
SD: standard deviation
P value : for comparison versus diabetic only
NS: non significant
P<0.05: significant
P<0.01: highly significant