The effect of tooth brushing frequency on plaque, gingival indices in Thamar Governorate (primary, intermediate, secondary schools) in Republic of Yemen

Faraed D Salman B.D.S, M.Sc*

Abstract:

An oral health Survey was conducted in Thamar Governorate schools (primary, intermediate, secondary schools). Certain aspects of oral health were investigated. The sample included 1758 students aged from 6-18 years old of both sexes (843 males, 915 females). The study has shown that 44.03% of the students did not brush their teeth, however, brushing

Standards did not seem to be satisfactory, this was judged by the high plaque &

gingival index mean for the sample and for different groups with in the sample.

Key words:

Tooth brushing, plaque, gingival Indices, oral hygiene.

Introduction:

The study was conducted in Thamar Governorate in the Republic of Yemen during the period between November 2001-January 2002. It aimed at:

1-providing a picture of certain aspects of oral health a mong 6-18 years old Students' schools.

2-studying the effect of tooth brushing frequency on plaque and gingival indices.

3-providing base line data which could be used in future studies and for planning dental health services in the Republic of Yemen specially this was the first study conducted on school children which represents the base of community.

Young children copy the tooth brushing of their parents & teachers. In adolescents, tooth brushing is an integral part of personal hygiene and grooming behavior ⁽¹⁾. Tooth brushing behavior is not primarily health directed in most people it is health related (to be more attractive) ^(2,3).

Oral health care professionals recommend brushing teeth once or more times a day to control plaque.

Materials and Methods:

The sample consisted of 1758 students aged from 6-18 years old of both sexes (843 males, 915 females) randomly selected.

The clinical examinations were carried out in the schools using adequate day light, plane mouth mirror and WHO periodontal probe to detect dental plaque and gingival health⁽⁴⁾.

Questionnaires have been distributed to all of the students before any oral examination was done. Several questions have been used to assess tooth -brushing frequency. Code no.1 was given for those who never clean their teeth. Code no. 2 was given for those who clean their teeth infrequently. Code no.3 was given for those who clean their teeth once a day. Code no. 4 was given for those who clean their teeth twice or more daily.

The indices used for assessment of gingival and periodontal conditions were

1-Plaque index (PI) by Silness and Loe (1964) to evaluate the oral hygiene (O.H.) of the students ⁽⁵⁾.

 Gingival index (GI) by Silness and Loe (1963) to evaluate the gingival health of the students (6).

The statistical analysis of the data included the mean and standard error for plaque and gingival indices using Chi sequare test, Duncan multiple range test. Differences were tested for their significancy for plaque and gingival indices using F-test at (0.01) level.

Results:

The mean plaque index and gingival index for the students by sex is shown in Table (1).

Table (1): Shows plaque index (pl), Gingival index mean for the students by sex.

Sex	No.	PI	GI
1000		Mean ± Std err.	Mean ± Std err.
Male	843	0.97 ± 1.28	0.5 ± 1.32
Female	915	0.81 ± 1.23	0.47 ± 1.18
Total	1758	0.89 ± 9.01.	0.52 ± 8.93**

^{*} d.f. =1757, F =79.35, p <.001

The effect of tooth brushing frequency by sex and age on the mean

plaque and gingival scores is shown in Table (2).

^{**}d.f. = 1757, F = 37.7, p < 001

Table (2): Shows the effect of tooth brushing frequency by sex and age for (6, 9, 12)

years old on plaque and gingival indices (Mean & Std. Error).

	TbF.	Age 6		Age 9		Age 12	
		M	F	М	F	М	F
	1	0.75±0.05 a	0.54±0.07 a	1.02±0.07 a	0.96±0.05 a	0.94±0.06 a	0.73±0.04 a
PI	2	0.70±0.08 a	0.69±0.07 a	0.86±0.10 a	0.86±0.06 a	0.72±0.08 a	0.74±0.09 a
	3	0.74±0.14 a	0.63±0.13 a	0.97±0.08 a	0.94±0.08 a	0.87±0.08 a	0.82± 16 a
1100	4	0.50±0.26 a	0.53±0.15 a	108-1103-122-1200	0.91±0.15 a	0.94±0.08 a	0.82±0.18 a
	1	0.33±0.05 a	0.21±0.67	0.63±0.07 a	0.41±0.05 a	0.65±0.06 a	0.35±0.05 a
	2	0.41±0.08 a	0.41±0.07 ab	0.48±0.10 a	0.46±0.07 a	0.42±0.09 a	0.40±0.09 a
GI	3	0.40±0.15 a	0.50±0.13 b	0.70±0,08 ab	0.68±0.08 a	0.70±0.08 a	0.48±0.17 a
	4	0.22±0.26 a	0.52±0.15 b	1.0±0.08 b	0.51±0.15 a	1.07±0.09 b	0.36±0.19 a

^{*}TbF=Tooth brushing frequency.

The effect of tooth brushing frequency by sex and age on the mean plaque and gingival scores is shown in Table (3).

Table (3): Shows the effect of tooth brushing frequency by sex and age for (13, 14,15) years old on plaque and gingival indices (Mean & Std. From)

	TbF	Ag	Age 13		Age 14		Age 15	
		М	F	М	F	М	F	
PI	1	1.01±0.05	0.64±0.04 a	1.05±0.04 b	0.80±0.09 a	1.16±0.04 a	0.87±0.1 a	
	2	0.82±0.06 a	0.76±0.06 a	0.97±0.07 b	0.91±0.05 a	1.05±0.10 a	0.88±0.06 a	
-	3	0.93±0.07 a	0.74±0.06 a	0.85±0.11 ab	0.76±0.07 a	0.94±0.11 a	0.59±0.11	
	4	0.88±0.13 a	0.61±0.09 a	0.67±0.09 a	0.69±0.09 a	0.89±0.08 a	0.74±0.13 a	
	1 00	0.58±0.05 a	0.28±0.03 a	0.60±0.05 b	0.56±0.11 ab	0.60±0.04 a	0.55±0.10 a	
GI -	2	0.48±0.08 a	0.40±0.04 ab	0.48±0.05 ab	0.46±0.04 a	0.62±0.09	0.50±0.05 a	
91	3	0.53±0.10 a	0.49±0.07 b	0.34±0.07 a	0.80±0.11 b	0.33±0.03 a	0.55±0.12 a	
	4	0.57±0.11 a	0.40±0.08 ab	0.32±0.08 a	0.54±0.04 ab	0.61±0.10 a	0.6±0.15 a	

The effect of tooth brushing frequency by sex and age on plaque and

gingival indices is shown in Table (4).

Table (4): Shows the effect of tooth brushing frequency by sex and age for (16, 17, 18)

years old on plaque and gingival indices (Mean & Std. Error).

	TbF	Age	16	Age	17	Age	18
		М	F	М	F	М	F
	1	1.07±0.07 a	1.0±0.05 a	1.2±0.05 a	0.62±0.06 a	1.2±0.05 a	1.0±0.08 a
PI -	2	1.0±0.07	0.94±0.06 a	1.0±0.06 a	0.8±0.05 a	0.9±0.06 a	1.0±0.04 a
	3	1.02±0.07 a	0.9±0.07 a	1.0±0.08 a	0.6±0.08 a	1.0±0.08 a	1.0±0.07
	4	1.0±0.17 a	0.9±0.05 a	1.0±0.03 a	0.6±0.09 a	1.0±0.03 a	1,0±0.12 a
3	-1	0.6±0.05 a	0.54±0.04 a	0.70±0.05 a	0.21±0.04 a	0.70±0.05 b	0.67±0.09 a
	2	0.6±0.05 a	0.52±0.06 a	0.6±0.06 ab	0.5±0.06 ab	0.6±0.06 ab	0.58±0.05 a
GI	3	0.7±0.10 a	0.51±0.06 a	0.3±0.05 ab	0.53±0.08 b	0.53±0.05 ab	0.72±0.07 a
	4	0.4±0.17	0,44±0.05 a	0.30±0.11 a	0.4±0.04 b	0.3±0.11 a	0.71±0.19 a

The effect of tooth brushing frequency by sex and age as total on plaque and gingival in dices is shown in Table(5).

Table (5): Shows the effect of tooth brushing frequency by sex and age as total on plaque and gingival indices(Mean & Std. Error). The result indicates that the mean (PI) and (GI) score is higher significantly in males than females.

	Sex	Mean	No.	Std. Error of Mean
PI	M	0.97	843	± 1.28
	F	18.0	915	± 1.23
	Total	0.89	1758	± 9.10
	M	0.58	843	± 1.32
GI	F	0.47	915	± 1.18
	Total	0.52	1758	± 8.93

 $Chi^2 = 36.26$

Discussion:

Table (1) shows that plaque, gingival indices for the students by sex, it shows that males had a significantly higher plaque and gingival indices mean than females at (p < 0.001) level this result is in agreement with the finding of many other investigators (7-11) this may be attributed to the better tooth brushing behavior among females (12-15)

Table (2, 3, 4) shows the effect of tooth brushing frequency on plaque and gingival indices means by sex for ages from (6-18) years old, in general frequency of tooth brushing has no effect on plaque and gingival indices, this stated that effectiveness of brushing is more important than frequency, this is in agreement with many studies (16, 17)

According to the frequency of tooth brushing the males show higher PI and GI than females with no statistical significant difference except for (6, 19, 12, 17) years for those who brush their teeth twice or more with significant difference at 0.05 level using Duncan multiple range test, also there is significant difference for those who brush their teeth once for (6, 13, 14) years old, females brush their teeth more effectively than males because they care more for their appearance than males, this is in agreement with many findings (7-11), while there is no statistical significant difference in sex between those who brush their teeth in frequently.

Concerning age the mean PI & GI increases by increasing age, this is in agreement with many studies carried out in Baghdad and Mosul in Iraq (14, 15)

Table (5) shows the mean plaque and gingival indices by sex, results has shown that prevalence of plaque and gingival inflammation reflects high degree of negligence of proper O.H measures among males.

The study had demonstrated that 44.03% of students did not seem to brush their teeth, approximately half of the sample didn't brush their teeth correctly & the other half didn't brush effectively which is in accordance with the study of Wade in which Iraqi school children claimed to brush their teeth daily (10, 13), this study is in contrast with the findings of other parts of the World for example 98% of west German adolescents brush their teeth daily, In Northern Ireland, for instance 91% of adults stated that they brushed their teeth once a day (18,20).

Freeman et al (19) stated that females brush daily more regularly, more frequently than males, this finding is in agreement with many studies in developing and developed countries (9-11,21-23)

This suggests that people's ability to control plaque effectively is impaired and there is a need to improve the effectiveness of tooth brushing and interdental cleaning. Patients do not need to change their health behaviors but rather modify their existing practices (19) and that tooth brushing frequency increases with education, occupation and income level (12, 24-28).

References:

1-Rayner JF, coben LK: School dental health education in social science and dentistry. Richards ND cohen LK (eds) 1971; 275-307 London Federation Dentaire Internationale.

2-sheiham A, Groocher R: Current perspectives on improving chairside dental health education for adults. Int Dent J 1994; 44:202-206.

3-Frandsen A: Mechanical oral hygiene practices In: Loe H, Klen man D eds Dental plaque control Measures and oral hygiene practices. Washington DC IRL press 1986.

4-World Health Organization (WHO technical Report series, No 621) Epidemiology etiology and the Prevention of PD diseases. Report of WHO scientific group 1987.

 Silness J, Loe H: Periodontal disease in pregnancy II correlation between oral hygiene and PD condition. Acta Odonto Scand 1964; 22:121-135.

6-Loe H, Silness J: Periodontal disease in pregnancy I prevalence and severity. Acta Odonto Scand 1963; 21:533-551.

 Nazhat N, Al. MAKadsi F: Oral hygiene periodontal health status and treatment needs among Iraqi dental students. Iraqi Dental Journal 1983; 10, 54-61.

8-Waurick M, Boruda A, Kunzel W: Oraler gesundheitszust and auswalhliten propabengruppen in "oral hygiene behaviour and periodontal status among European adolescents; an overview ". Community Dent oral Epidemiol 1984;16,194-8.

9-Anagnou Vareltzides A, Tsami A, Mitsis F: Factors influencing oral hygiene and gingival health in Greek school children 1986.

10-Wade A: An epidemiological study of PD disease in British and Iraqi children, les paradonto pathies 1966; 18,19-25.

11-King N, Ng B, Ling J: Oral hygiene and tooth brushing habits of 12 years old children in Hong Kong. Community Dent Oral Epidemiol 1986; 14, 242-4.

12-Honkala E, Freeman R: Oral hygiene behaviour and periodontal status in European adolescents an overview. Community Dent-Oral Epidemiol 1988; 16, 194-8.

- 13-Al-Naimi RJ, Khamroo TY: Oral health status and treatment needs in 13-15 year old students in Mosul city. Iraq J College of Dentistry 1999; 5: 90-100.
- 14-Khamroo TY, Al-Salman KA, Abdal: Dental health status in Humaidat village Ninevah city. Iraqi Dent J 1998; 23:3-22.
- 15-Al-Dahan Z, Al-Dean L: Gingival health status among children and teenagers in Fingan village Baghdad. Iraqi Dent J 1998; 23-97-107.
- 16-Honkala E: Oral health promotion with children and adolescents in oral health promotion, ed L shou As Blinkhorn 1993; pp 169-187 Oxford: Oxford University press UK.
- 17-Glavind L, Christensen H, Petersen E, Rosendhal H et al. Oral hygiene instruction of adult by means of a self instructional manual. J clin periodontal [985; 8: 165-176.
- 18-Todd J E, Ladder (1991): Adult dental health in 1988. United Kingdom London HMSO.
- 19-Freeman R, Lindon G: Health directed and health related dimensions of oral health behaviours of PD referrals. Community Dept Health 1995; 12: 45-51.
- 20-Frandsen A: Mechanical OH practices In Loe H Klenman D eds Dental plaque control measures and OH practices. Washington DC IRL press 1986.
- 21-Freeman R, Maizels J, Wyllie M, sheiham A: The relationship between health related knowledge, attitudes and dental health behaviours in 14-16 years old adolescents. Community Dent Health 1993; 10: 397-404.

- 22-Saeed WH: Evaluation of preventive dental health knowledge Attitudes and behaviours of 14 year old students in Baghdad- Iraq. M.Sc Thesis University of Alabama Birmingham 1983.
- 23-Al-Alousi W, Al-Sayyab M: Plaque gingival condition and brushing behaviour in 15years old Iraqi school children in the central region of Iraq. Iraqi Dent J 1996; 8: 127-136.
- 24-Gift HC: Current utilization pattern of oral hygiene practices state of the science review in loe H Klenman D Dental plaque control measures and O-H practice. Washington DC IRL press 1986.
- 25-Petersen PE: Dental health behaviour among 25-44 old Danes. Scand J prim Health care 1986; 4: 51-57.
- 26-Mac Gregor I, Blading J: Tooth brushing frequency in relation to family size and bed times in English school children. Community Dent. Oral Epidemiol 1987; 35: 181-183.
- 27-Schou L, Currie C, Mc Queen D: Using a "life style" perpective to understand tooth brushing behaviour in Scottish children. Community Dent Oral Epidemiol 1990; 18: 230-234.
- 28-Chen M, Anderson M, Leclereq M, Lyttle C: Comparing oral health care system. A second international collaborative study. WHO Geneva 1997.