Mustansiria Dental Journal MDJ DOI:<u>https://doi.org/10.32828/mdj.v20i1.1044</u>



Vol.20, No.01, 06/2024 P- ISSN: 2618-0944 E- ISSN: 1813-850

# Fracture resistance of endodontically treated root obturated with AH plus, MTA Fillapex, OneFill and Neosealer (A comparative in vitro study)

Nabeel H. Abdul Hussein<sup>1</sup>, Biland MS Shukri<sup>2</sup>, Abeer Saad Gawish<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>MSc student at Department of conservative Dentistry, College of Dentistry, Mustansiriyah University, Baghdad, Iraq. E-mail: nabeelhamodi9393@gmail.com , Phone Number: 009647710340455 .

<sup>2</sup>Professor at College of Dentistry, Mustansiriyah University, Department of Conservative, Iraq, B.D.S., M.Sc. in Conservative Dentistry, E-mail: <u>blandms@uomustansiriyah.edu.iq</u>, ORCID : <u>https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1009-201X</u>.

<sup>3</sup>Dean of faculty of graduate studies.Sinai University ElArish& professor of oral medicine, periodontolgy, oral diagnosis and oral radiology, Faculty of dental medicine -girls branch AlAzhar University, E-mail : <u>Gawish27@gmail.com</u>, ORCID : <u>https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9932-1773</u>.

Received 14/11/2023

Accepted in revised form 12/03/2024

Published 30/06/2024

#### Abstract

Aim: The objective of this study was to compare the fracture resistance of root canals that have undergone endodontic treatment and have been filled with four distinct types of root canal sealers. Material and Methods: Sixty extracted palatal root of upper molars were collected and sectioned to get a 11mm root length. All root canals were instrumented using edge endo X7 system rotary files, and 35# size was the final size used to finish all the canals. After instrumentation, the samples were separated into four groups of 15 samples each according to the type of sealer, a single cone obturation technique was used : Group 1: obturated with Neosealer and gutta-percha. Group 2: obturated with AH plus sealer and gutta-percha. Group 3: Obturated with Onefill BC sealer and gutta-percha.Group 4: Obturated with MTA-Fillapex sealer and guttapercha. After incubation period of 7 days at 37°C and 100% humidity the samples covered with thin layer polyvinylsiloxane impression material to simulate a periodontal membrane and then molded in acrylic blocks so as to set the samples ready for fracture resistance test using universal testing machine at a cross head speed of 0.5mm/min. One-way ANOVA and Post hoc tests assessed the data statistically. Results: The findings indicated that fracture resistance was significantly higher in those canals that were filled with Neosealer BC sealer (69.90 N) in comparison to the other different sealers used in the study ( $p \le 0.05$ ). A non-significant differences were found between (AH Plus and MTA- Fillapex) (p>0.05), although Onefill BC showed highest mean of fracture resistance (65.07 N) than MTA- Fillapex and AH plus sealer (63.80 N, 63.64 N) respectively. AH plus sealer which is the least mean fracture resistance (63.64 N).



Copyright © 202x, Mustansiria Dental Journal. This work licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution Noncommercial 4.0 International License

**Conclusion**: Neosealer Bioceramic sealer group demonstrated the highest fracture resistance compared to Onefill bioceramic. Both MTA Fillapex and AH plus showed the lowest fracture resistance.

Keywords: bioceramic sealer, edge file X7, fracture resistance, OneFill sealer, Neosealer, AH plus.

#### Introduction

The strength of teeth that have received endodontic therapy is contingent upon the quantity of tooth structure that remains following canal preparation. Root fractures during root canal therapy are impacted by three factors: excessive obturation without proper pressure control, instrumentation, and dentin dryness during endodontic treatment. (phukan et al., 2017). The confluence of these variables. in conjunction with the occlusal stress on the teeth, augments the probability of a root Furthermore, fracture. the synergistic influence of irrigants Intracanal and medicaments may have an impact on both mechanical and physical characteristics of the root dentin, potentially leading to the failure or fracture of teeth that have undergone endodontic therapy. (Bhat et al., 2012).

For teeth that have had endodontic treatment to be as strong as possible, obturation materials are crucial. As stated by Khan et al. (2015). A common material for root canal filling is gutta-percha mixed with a sealant. As stated in the 2007 study by Gulsahi et al. Because dentin's elastic modulus is higher than gutta-percha's, the latter has a more significant impact on the reinforcement of roots following root canal treatment (Ribeiro et al.,2008).

The Zinc Oxide Eugenol (ZOE) sealer is the most often utilized root canal sealer, it has been utilized for numerous years. because of its commendable physicochemical properties. However, the constant hydrolysis of eugenol or zinc oxide might lead to leakage and recontamination of the root canal system, resulting in post-treatment complications. (Kumar & Shruthi,2012). Various study approaches have devised materials that improve the ability to stick to the root canal system. This procedure is believed to enhance

both adhesion and mechanical interlocking, so strengthening the residual root structure and reducing the chance of fracture. (Uppalapati & Mandava, 2012).

AH Plus sealer is an epoxy resin-based sealer that possesses characteristics such as convenient manipulation, the possibility for enhanced adhesion to dentine and Guttapercha surfaces, and effective sealing capabilities, Resin-based root canal sealers are preferred because to their capacity to penetrate dentinal tubules. These qualities are regarded as crucial among root canal sealers. (Patil *et al.*, 2013).

MTA-FILLAPEX is a mineral trioxide aggregate (MTA)-based, The root canal sealer contains a salicylate resin with 13% MTA, which is included for its antibacterial and biocompatibility characteristics. (Shantiaee *et al* ., 2010). MTA Fillapex liberates free calcium ions (Ca2+) that facilitate the healing procedure by provoking the regeneration of tissues. (Sagsen *et al.*, 2011).

In 2021, Mediclus plans to take the lead in the dental industry's endodotic solution market with the launch of one-fill PT, a high viscosity bioceramic sealer designed to repair orifice recapping, block-out perforation, and emergency cases. A recent study contrasted one fil with a competing product. (Mediclus instruction manul,2021)

In 2022, Root canal sealers made of bioceramic material and epoxy resin were tested for their cytocompatibility.Based on the findings, bioceramic root canal sealers are the most practical and risk-free option for preventing the following issues: severe sealer leaking past the apical foramen and compromised perforation of the canal wall (Jose Kuis Sanz,2022).

The Neosealer flow is specifically designed to enhance the efficiency and costeffectiveness of both heated vertical and single cone obturation techniques.

The MTA-based bioactivity hydroxyapatite form of the bioceramic sealer contains a higher amount of bioactive cement compared to the original version. This ensures a bioactive sealing effect. In contrast, the resin-based sealer Neosealer Flo is dimensionally stable and free of resin, allowing for maximum bioactivity. It does not cause staining and has an extended working time of 40 minutes, with a setting time of 11 hours, fine particles size allows excellent flow to fill and seal lateral canal, high radiopacity, high ph to promote biomedrespone. (avlon osteogenic instruction manual, 2020).

The aim of this study was to compare the fracture resistance of endodontically treated root obturated with gutta percha and four types of sealers; AH plus, MTA Fillapex, OneFill and Neosealer.

#### **Materials And Methods**

The current study included sixty individual maxillary molar teeth with a single palatal root, from patients aged 18 to 25 years. First, periodontal curettes were used to remove soft periodontal tissue from teeth. Then, 0.1% thymol was used to store the root. A dental microscope 24x (Koolertron. Shenzhen. China) was used to examine the extracted teeth for any signs of damage, such as cracks, fractures, or external resorption. When choosing these teeth, much attention was paid to making sure their buccolingual and mesiodistal dimensions were similar. To achieve a standarized root length of 11 mm, crowns were cut using a diamond disk attached to a straight hand-piece that was under water coolant. To ensure the correct working length, A k-file of size #15 was inserted into the canal until its tip reached the apical opening. Subsequently reducing the length of the file by 1 millimeter. Getting clean and shaping of the root canals were done using edge endo X7 heat treated rotary NiTi files (EdgeEndo, USA) Electric Motor Endo-Mate instruments were

used to instrument the canal. (manufactured by Woodpecker in China) operating at a pace of 300 revolutions per minute. The instrument used to prepare the canal was a 35# master apical file. After completing the instrumentation, a 5 mL solution of 17% ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid was used to remove the smear layer in the root canals. This was followed by flushing 5 mL of a 3% sodium hypochlorite solution for irrigation. Finally, a total of 10 milliliters of distilled water was employed to flush the canals in each of the samples.

# **Grouping Samples**

Group 1: Obturation with gutta percha and neosealer BC sealer.

Group 2: Obturation with gutta percha and AH Plus sealer.

Group 3: Obturation with gutta percha and Onefill Bc sealer.

Group 4: Obturation with gutta percha and MTA-Fillapex sealer.

Different types of root canal sealers were used to divide the samples into four groups, For all sealed groups, The sealers were mixed in accordance with the requirements set forth by the maker, and the single-cone obturation method was used to fill all of the canals.

The specimens were placed in an incubator set at 37°C with 100% relative humidity for 7 days so that the sealers could fully set. By applying a thin layer of polyvinylsiloxane impression material to the root surface, extending up to 2 mm below the top of the root, it was possible to replicate the characteristics of a periodontal ligament. Subsequently, each tooth was positioned in an upright manner within acrylic resin, with only 2 mm of the root exposed. This was achieved by utilising Using a plastic ring as a mold to compress the acrylic. Fracture resistance testing was conducted with a universal testing equipment. (Instron Corp, model H50KT, England) figure (1). The blocks containing the samples were set on the base of the testing apparatus. The top section was connected with a metal-like spreader with a tip diameter of 0.8 mm, and pressure was exerted vertically along the root's long axis. The metal tip was centered over the canal orifice. A crosshead speed of 0.5 mm/minute was used to gradually increase the vertical force on each sample until the root was fractured. A fracture occurs when the applied force abruptly and significantly decreases by more than 25%. During the moment of fracture, a distinct sound can be perceived and heard, and the amount of force exerted on each sample was measured in Newtons. Figure (2)

The fracture load data was analyzed statistically using SPSS V.25 (IBM, New York, USA) to investigate the forces

The ANOVA analysis (Table 2) revealed a statistically significant variance among the groups, with a significance level of 0.05. A Tukey's test was performed to conduct post hoc multiple comparisons among the groups, as shown in Table 3. Neosealer BC sealer exhibited a statistically significant disparity in comparison to the other groups (p < 0.05).

No statistically significant difference was found between the AH Plus group and the MTA-Fillapex group.

### Discussion

During this investigation, certain criteria were established to standardize the study groups. The criterion involved choosing maxillary molars with a palatal root that is straight, has just one root canal, a foramen placed in the center, and an apical diameter that is the same as the initial file size of 20#. Furthermore, to ensure that the root samples did not lose any moisture, they were irrigated regularly during the examination.

Anatomical differences, age, and the time of tooth extraction all play a role in influencing the results, making it difficult to standardize the assessment of fracture strength in human teeth. (Marshall, 1993). It is essential to standardize features like root canal diameter and length (both buccolingual responsible for causing root fractures. The objective was accomplished by employing one-way ANOVA. Afterwards, Tukey's multiple post hoc test was used to compare the groups.

## Result

The normality of the data in the current study was evaluated using the Shapiro-Wilk test, which confirmed a normal distribution. (p > 0.05) (Table 1). The group with the highest average fracture resistance was Group 4 (neosealer BC), with a value of 69.90 N. This was followed by Group 2 (onefill BC) with a value of 65.07 N, Group 3 (MTA-Fillapex) with a value of 63.80 N, and Group 1 (AH Plus) with a value of 63.64 N. (Fig. 3).

and mesiodistal) when testing with extracted teeth. In this study, all roots were standardized in terms of size of preparation, root width and length. (Sagsen et al., 2007)

A study looked at how different rotary files made of nickel and titanium affected the root dentin. Utilizing this method revealed a diminished capacity to resist root fractures and increased susceptibility to craze lines and dentin cracks when contrasted with the use of hand files. (Tavanafar et al., 2015), One possible advantage of using rotational methods to prepare root canals is that they produce a more circular cross-section, which may help distribute stresses and forces better when the root canal is filled. (Versluis et al., 2006). Research has demonstrated that edge endo X7 rotary files do a better job of preserving the original canal location, cause less deformation to the canal shape, and reduce the occurrence of micro-cracks in the tooth's root dentin than other modern mechanical tools used for root canal therapy. (Sobh et al., 2021; Majumdar et al., 2022)Conversely, irrigants cause dentin to lose moisture, which in turn reduces its elasticity and root fragility. (Sim et al., 2001).

EDTA administration has a harmful impact on dentin, however this effect can be reduced by using a lower amount and shorter duration of EDTA exposure. (Mai et al., 2010). Additionally, the EDTA's low surface tension enables it to effortlessly penetrate the teeth's tubules and remove the smear layer at a depth ranging from 2.5 to 4 micrometers. (Jhamb et al., 2009;Yilmaz *et al.*, 2011), Following the elimination of the smear layer, there was a modification in the surface energy, facilitating the flow and adaptation of the root canal sealer. This improvement enhances its ability to adhere to the root canal wall, resulting in a higher sealing efficiency. (Çalt & Serper, 2002). Distilled water was employed as a last rinse to counteract the impacts of irrigating solutions.

The tooth's reinforcement by an obturation material is dependent upon its ability to penetrate the dentinal tubules. These elements, including the tubules' size, the diameter of the material particles, and the substance's reaction rate, influence tooth fracture resistance. It is believed that root canal sealers that can bond to root dentin have the potential to enhance the resistance of teeth that have undergone endodontic treatment to fractures. (Bird *et al.*, in 2012).

In the present study, a single cone technique was used. This technique has the benefit of speeding up the root canal filling and reduces the pressure that applied to the root canal walls compared with lateral condensation technique (Chybowski et al., 2018). However, in this technique, more sealer is coated on the canal walls and the risk of intracanal voids would be higher (Wu et al., 2000; Whitworth & Baco, 2005).

Silicone paste was employed to replicate the periodontal ligament and alveolar bone. Replicating the alveolar bone is essential because it can absorb the stresses generated while chewing and endure the compressive forces in fracture resistance testing. The simulation of the periodontal ligament inhibits the accumulation of stress in a specific area and distributes the strains generated by applying a load across the entire surface of the root. (Mittal *et al.*, 2017)

The vertical root fracture occurred as a result of putting a metal tip like a spreader into the tooth's canal along its longitudinal axis. This method ensures the even distribution of force within the root canal and closely resembles a root fracture that originates from endodontic procedures. In addition, the teeth had a mere 2 mm of root dentin visible above the embedding substance. This form is clinically more attractive because it effectively enhances the support provided to healthy teeth by the alveolar bone and reduces the occurrence of severe strains caused by bending movements. (Patil et al., 2017; Phukan et al., 2017).

# Fracture resistance

The present study conducted a comparison of the impact of four different sealers on the strength of root dentin. The results indicate that the Neosealer group had the highest average fracture resistance of root dentin, followed by the Onefill sealer group, MTA-Fillapex group, and AH plus sealer group, The increased degree of adhesion of Bioceramic to root dentin, compared to MTA Fillapex and AH Plus, may explain the much superior fracture resistance shown in the present investigation for Bioceramic.

Bioceramic forms a chemical bond with dentine due to the development of hydroxyapatite during the setting process. Its hydrophilic characteristics also allow it to spread easily across canal walls because of its low contact angle. The result is a strong and reliable hermetic seal. [Gada VJ et al.,2015] Because of its dentin-like compressive elastic modulus, MTA Fillapex sealer can increase root strength. This substance can also produce hydroxyapatite. Conducted by Mandava et al. (2014) and Buraksagsen et al. (2012), the results are in close agreement with the current findings.

The neosealer bioceramic demonstrated superior outcomes in comparison to the other

DOI: https://doi.org/10.32828/mdj.v20i1.1044

sealers examined, with statistical significance (P<0.05). Bioceramic-based endodontic sealers form a chemical link with the radicular dentin by producing hydroxyapatite during the setting process (Cobankara et al., 2002). Another reason for its hydrophilic nature is its low contact angle, which facilitates easy spreading across the canal walls. This results in agreement with other studies as Patil et al.( 2017); Yendrembam B et al.( 2019);Nermine H & Reham H.(2023).

The study disagrees with the findings of Dibaji et al.(2017), who reported that the bioceramic group had lower fracture resistance compared to AH Plus. Additionally, Topçuoğlu et al. (2013) reported a greater fracture resistance value for bioceramic, although the difference between the groups was not statistically significant.This discrepancy could be attributed to variations in methodology.

However, it has been demonstrated that the presence of pores in the sealer, shrinkage during the solidification process, and dissolution can result in the formation of empty spaces and openings. (Sen et al., 1996; Kim et al., 2010; Pawar et al., 2014).

In this study, the fracture force was applied using a spreader-like metal tip with an 0.8 mm diameter that was positioned over the orifice of the obturated canal (Osiri et al., 2018). Then, the vertical force was slowly increasing to exert a load parallel to the long axis of the root. This method affords a force distribution from the internal surface of the root canal which leads to wedging action to simulate a root fracture of endodontic origin (Osiri et al., 2018). Furthermore, the roots had only 2 mm out of the acrylic block which is more appealing clinically as it simulates the support by alveolar bone efficiently that is given to healthy teeth (Patil et al., 2017; Phukan et al., 2017).

Another potential factor may be associated with the polymerization shrinkage of resin that contains AH Plus sealer. According to Wu et al. in 2000 and Whitworth and Baco in 2005, there is a correlation between the amount of sealer used within the canal and the extent of polymerization shrinkage. It has been reported that higher amount of sealer in the canal is needed when using a single cone obturation technique. Therefore, polymerization shrinkage might occur that disrupts the close initial contact between the surrounding dentin and the sealer and produces shrinkage gaps and reduce the fracture resistance of obturated roots (Omran & Alhashimi, 2018).

Neosealer is more fracture resistance than onefill bioceramic sealer. this might be due to different in composition and amount of bioceramic , amout of neosealer bioceramic more than onefill sealer bioceramic about 17% according to instruction manual .

The observed fracture in the present investigation had a buccolingual orientation. Buccolingual fractures have been found to be the most prevalent in numerous investigations. The study conducted by Zamin C et al. in 2012 found that the direction of force applied can potentially impact the direction of fracture.(Lertchirakarn V et al.,2002).

Based on the limitations of this investigation, it may be concluded that the Bioceramic Sealer enhances the fracture resistance of teeth that have had endodontic treatment more effectively than the MTA-based sealer (MTA Fillapex) or the epoxy resin-based sealer (AH Plus).

However, it is recommended to evaluate the long-term effect and the ability of all the sealers to enhance the resistance to fracture of the endodontically treated root.

#### Conclusion

The use of root canal sealers resulted in an increase in the resistance to fracture of teeth that had undergone endodontic treatment. Among the different groups, The Neosealer Bioceramic sealer exhibited highest level of fracture resistance compared to Onefill bioceramic whereas MTA Fillapex, and AH plus had the lowest fracture resistance.

### References

- 1. Akcay, M., Arslan, H., Durmus, N., Mese, M. and Capar, I.D. Dentinal tubule penetration of AH Plus, iRoot SP, MTA fillapex, and guttaflow bioseal root canal sealers after different final irrigation procedures: A confocal microscopic study. *Lasers in surgery and medicine*. 2016 48(1), pp.70-76.
- 2. Assmann E, Scarparo RK, Böttcher DE, Grecca FS. Dentin bond strength of two mineral trioxide aggregate–based and one epoxy resin–based sealers. Journal of endodontics. 2012 1;38(2):219-21.
- Bhat SS, Hegde SK, Rao A, Mohammed AS. Evaluation of resistance of teeth subjected to fracture after endodontic treatment using different root canal sealers: An: in vitro: study. Journal of Indian Society of Pedodontics and Preventive Dentistry. 2012 Oct 1;30(4):305-9.
- 4. Bier CA, Shemesh H, Tanomaru-Filho M, Wesselink PR, Wu MK. The ability of different nickel-titanium rotary instruments to induce dentinal damage during canal preparation. Journal of endodontics. 2009 1;35(2):236-8.
- Chadha R, Taneja S, Kumar M, Sharma M. An in vitro comparative evaluation of fracture resistance of endodontically treated teeth obturated with different materials. Contemporary Clinical Dentistry. 2010 Apr;1(2):70.
- 6. Çobankara FK, Üngör M, Belli S. The effect of two different root canal sealers and smear layer on resistance to root fracture. Journal of Endodontics. 2002 Aug 1;28(8):606-9.

## Acknowledgments

The authors express their gratitude to Mustansiriyah University (www.uomustansiriyah.edu.iq) in Baghdad, Iraq for their help in the current study.

## **Conflict of interest**

The authors reported that they have no conflicts of interest.

(22Conflicts of Interest

- Dibaji F, Afkhami F, Bidkhori B, Kharazifard MJ. Fracture resistance of roots after application of different sealers. Iranian endodontic journal. 2017;12(1):50.
- Fisher MA, Berzins DW, Bahcall JK. An in vitro comparison of bond strength of various obturation materials to root canal dentin using a push-out test design. Journal of endodontics. 2007 Jul 1;33(7):856-8.
- Gade VJ, Belsare LD, Patil S, Bhede R, Gade JR. Evaluation of push-out bond strength of endosequence BC sealer with lateral condensation and thermoplasticized technique: An in vitro study. Journal of conservative dentistry: JCD. 2015;18(2):124.
- Gomes-Filho JE, Watanabe S, Bernabé PF, de Moraes Costa MT. A mineral trioxide aggregate sealer stimulated mineralization. Journal of endodontics. 2009 Feb 1;35(2):256-60.
- 11. Gulsahi K, Cehreli ZC, Kuraner T, Dagli FT. Sealer area associated with cold lateral condensation of gutta-percha and warm coated carrier filling systems in canals prepared with various rotary NiTi systems. International Endodontic Journal. 2007 Apr;40(4):275-81.
- 12. Gurgel-Filho ED, Leite FM, Lima JB, Montenegro JP, Saavedra F, Silva EJ. Comparative evaluation of push-out bond strength of a MTA-based root canal sealer. Brazilian Journal of Oral Sciences. 2014 ;13:114-7.
- 13. Jainaen A, Palamara JE, Messer HH. The effect of resin-based sealers on fracture properties of dentine. International

endodontic journal. 2009 Feb;42(2):136-43.

- 14. Kala M, Mds SP, Allappanavarkeerti S, Gupthanagendra VR. A comparative Evaluation of Fracture Resistance of Endodontically Treated Teeth obturated with AH PLUS and guttapercha, gutaflow and guttapercha and resilon epiphany and guttapercha an in vitro Study. 2014 1;30(4):305-9.
- 15. Langalia AK, Dave B, Patel N, Thakkar V, Sheth S, Parekh V. Comparative evaluation of fracture resistance of endodontically treated teeth obturated with resin based adhesive sealers with conventional obturation technique: an in vitro study. Journal of International Oral Health: JIOH. 2015 Feb;7(2):6.
- 16. Lee YL, Lin FH, Wang WH, Ritchie HH, Lan WH, Lin CP. Effects of EDTA on the hydration mechanism of mineral trioxide aggregate. Journal of dental research. 2007;86(6):534-8.
- Lertchirakarn V, Timyam A, Messer HH. Effects of root canal sealers on vertical root fracture resistance of endodontically treated teeth. Journal of Endodontics. 2002 Mar 1;28(3):217-9.
- 18. Mandava J, Chang PC, Roopesh B, Faruddin MG, Anupreeta A, Uma C. Comparative evaluation of fracture resistance of root dentin to resin sealers and a MTA sealer: An in vitro study. Journal of Conservative Dentistry: JCD. 2014 Jan;17(1):53.
- 19. Mandava J, Chang PC, Roopesh B, Faruddin MG, Anupreeta A, Uma C. Comparative evaluation of fracture resistance of root dentin to resin sealers and a MTA sealer: An in vitro study. Journal of Conservative Dentistry: JCD. 2014 Jan;17(1):53.
- 20. Mohammed YT, Al-Zaka IM. Fracture resistance of endodontically treated teeth obturated with different root canal sealers (a comparative study). J Contemp Dent Pract. 2020 May 1;21(5):490-3.
- 21. Nunes VH, Silva RG, Alfredo E, Sousa-Neto MD, Silva-Sousa YT. Adhesion of Epiphany and AH Plus sealers to human root dentin treated with different

solutions. Brazilian dental journal. 2008;19:46-50.

- 22. Patil P, Banga KS, Pawar AM, Pimple S, Ganeshan R. Influence of root canal obturation using gutta-percha with three different sealers on root reinforcement of endodontically treated teeth. An in vitro comparative study of mandibular incisors. Journal of conservative dentistry: JCD. 2017 Jul;20(4):241.
- 23. Patil SA, Dodwad PK, Patil AA. An in vitro comparison of bond strengths of Gutta-percha/AH Plus, Resilon/Epiphany self-etch and EndoREZ obturation system to intraradicular dentin using a push-out test design. Journal of Conservative Dentistry: JCD. 2013 May;16(3):238.
- 24. Phukan AH, Mathur S, Sandhu M, Sachdev V. The effect of different root canal sealers on the fracture resistance of endodontically treated teeth-in vitro study. Dental research journal. 2017 Nov;14(6):382.
- 25. Reinhardt RA, Krejci RF, Pao YC, Stannard JG. Dentin stresses in postreconstructed teeth with diminishing bone support. Journal of Dental Research. 1983 Sep;62(9):1002-8.
- 26. Reyes-Carmona JF, Felippe MS, Felippe WT. Biomineralization ability and interaction of mineral trioxide aggregate and white portland cement with dentin in a phosphate-containing fluid. Journal of endodontics. 2009 May 1;35(5):731-6.
- 27. Ribeiro FC, Souza-Gabriel AE, Marchesan MA, Alfredo E, Silva-Sousa YT, Sousa-Neto MD. Influence of different endodontic filling materials on root fracture susceptibility. Journal of Dentistry. 2008 Jan 1;36(1):69-73.
- 28. Sagsen B, Ustün Y, Demirbuga S, Pala K. Push-out bond strength of two new calcium silicate-based endodontic sealers to root canal dentine. International endodontic journal. 2011 Dec;44(12):1088-91.
- 29. Saleh IM, Ruyter IE, Haapasalo MP, Ørstavik D. Adhesion of endodontic sealers: scanning electron microscopy and energy dispersive spectroscopy. Journal of endodontics. 2003 Sep 1;29(9):595-601.

- 30. Sarkar NK, Caicedo R, Ritwik P, Moiseyeva R, Kawashima I. Physicochemical basis of the biologic properties of mineral trioxide aggregate. Journal of endodontics. 2005 1;31(2):97-100.
- 31. Sedgley CM, Messer HH. Are endodontically treated teeth more brittle?. Journal of endodontics. 1992 Jul 1;18(7):332-5.
- 32. Shantiaee Y, Dianat O, Janani A, Ahari GK. In vitro evaluation of the antibacterial activity of three root canal sealers. Iranian endodontic journal. 2010;5(1):1.
- 33. Soares CJ, Pizi EC, Fonseca RB, Martins LR. Influence of root embedment material and periodontal ligament simulation on fracture resistance tests. Brazilian oral research. 2005;19:11-6.
- 34. Sornkul E, Stannard JG. Strength of roots before and after endodontic treatment and restoration. Journal of Endodontics. 1992 Sep 1;18(9):440-3.
- 35. Sousa-Neto MD, Silva Coelho FI, Marchesan MA, Alfredo E, Silva-Sousa YT. Ex vivo study of the adhesion of an epoxy-based sealer to human dentine submitted to irradiation with Er: YAG and Nd: YAG lasers. International endodontic journal. 2005 Dec;38(12):866-70.
- 36. Timpawat S, Vongsavan N, Messer HH. Effect of removal of the smear layer on apical microleakage. Journal of endodontics. 2001 1;27(5):351-3.
- 37. Topçuoğlu HS, Tuncay Ö, Karataş E, Arslan H, Yeter K. In vitro fracture resistance of roots obturated with epoxy resin–based, mineral trioxide aggregate– based, and bioceramic root canal sealers. Journal of endodontics. 2013 Dec 1;39(12):1630-3.
- 38. Torabinejad M, Parirokh M, Dummer PM. Mineral trioxide aggregate and other bioactive endodontic cements: an updated overview-part II: other clinical applications and complications. International endodontic journal. 2018 Mar;51(3):284-317.
- 39. Trope M, Ray Jr HL. Resistance to fracture of endodontically treated roots. Oral surgery, oral medicine, oral

pathology. 1992 Jan 1;73(1):99-102.

- 40. Ungor M, Onay EO, Orucoglu H. Pushout bond strengths: the Epiphany–Resilon endodontic obturation system compared with different pairings of Epiphany, Resilon, AH Plus and gutta-percha. International endodontic journal. 2006 ;39(8):643-7.
- 41. Upadhyay ST, Purayil TP. Comparative evaluation of fracture resistance of endodontically treated teeth obturated with pozzolan-based MTA sealer and epoxy resin-based sealer: an in vitro study. World Journal of Dentistry. 2013 Jun 1;8:37-40.
- 42. Yendrembam B, Mittal A, Sharma N, Dhaundiyal A, Kumari S, Abraham A. Relative Assessment of Fracture Resistance of Endodontically Treated Teeth with Epoxy Resin-Based Sealers, AH Plus, MTA Fillapex, and Bioceramic Sealer: An: In vitro: Study. Indian Journal of Dental Sciences. 2019 Jan 1;11(1):46-50.
- 43. Yendrembam B, Mittal A, Sharma N, Dhaundiyal A, Kumari S, Abraham A. Relative Assessment of Fracture Resistance of Endodontically Treated Teeth with Epoxy Resin-Based Sealers, AH Plus, MTA Fillapex, and Bioceramic Sealer: An: In vitro: Study. Indian Journal of Dental Sciences. 2019 1;11(1):46-50.
- 44. Yildirim T, Oruçoğlu H, Çobankara FK. Long-term evaluation of the influence of smear layer on the apical sealing ability of MTA. Journal of endodontics. 2008 Dec 1;34(12):1537-40.
- 45. Zamin C, Silva-Sousa YT, Souza-Gabriel AE, Messias DF, Sousa-Neto MD. Fracture susceptibility of endodontically treated teeth. Dental Traumatology. 2012 ;28(4):282-6.
- 46. Sobh YT, Deen NE, Mohsen A, Hashem AA. Effect of Different Contemporary Rotary Nickel Titanium Systems on Root Canal Geometry. Al-Azhar Dental Journal for Girls. 2021 Oct 1;8(4):527-34
- 47. Majumdar TK, Chowdhury M, Mukherjee S, Mazumdar P. Cone-beam computed tomography assessment of root canal transportation and evaluation of canal

centering using Protaper Gold, XP Endoshaper, and Edgefile X7. Endodontology. 2022 Apr 1;34(2):121-6.

- 48. Hassan N, Hassan R. Fracture Resistance of Roots Obturated with Bioceramic and Epoxy Resin-Root Canal Sealers Using Different Obturation Techniques: An Exvivo study. Egyptian Dental Journal. 2023 Oct 1;69(4):3217-24.
- 49. Mandava J, Chang PC, Roopesh B, Faruddin MG, Anupreeta A, Uma C. Comparative evaluation of fracture resistance of root dentin to resin sealers and a MTA sealer: An in vitro study. Journal of Conservative Dentistry: JCD. 2014;17(1):53



Figure1 : universal test machine (model H50KT, England)

Table1: Descriptive statistics including Mean (N), SD, Min and Max for all groups.

Groups	No.	Mean(N)	SD.	SE.	Min.	Max.
Group1 neosealer	15	69.90	1.93	0.50	66.29	73.27
Group 2 Ah plus sealer	15	63.64	0.95	0.25	62.64	65.14
Group 3 Onefill sealer	15	65.07	1.18	0.30	62.89	67.55
Group 4 Mtafillapex	15	63.80	1.04	0.27	62.04	65.27

SD = Standard deviation, max= maximum, min = minimum

Groups	SS	df	MS	F	p-value
Between Groups	388.52	3	129.51	72.917	.000
Within Groups	99.461	56	1.776		
Total	487.98	59			

From table (1+2) a significant difference was found among groups.

figure3: Bar chart graph for mean fracture resistance of different groups



From table (1) and Fig (3), group1( neosealer) shows the highest mean value (69.90)

Groups		p-value	Sig.
Group 1	Group 2	0.000	S
	Group 3	0.000	S
	Group 4	0.000	S
Group 2	Group 3	0.024	S
	Group 4	0.988	N.S
Group 3	Group 4	0.055	N.S



Figure2: root fracture after test